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Therapeutic effects of trastuzumab combined with anthracyclines in metastatic breast cancer
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Abstract: Objective: The aim of the current study was to evaluate therapeutic effects and safety levels of trastuzumab combined with anthracyclines for treatment of metastatic breast cancer. Methods: A total of 90 patients with metastatic breast cancer were randomly divided into three groups, including A (N = 32), B (N = 36), and C (N = 22) groups. Group A received treatment with the simultaneous use of anthracyclines and trastuzumab (50 mg/m² pirarubicin and 75 mg/m² epirubicin for 6 cycles). Group B received sequential therapy with trastuzumab and anthracyclines (60 mg/m² pirarubicin and 90 mg/m² epirubicin for 21 consecutive days as a chemotherapy cycle; Trastuzumab was given for the first time at the end of one cycle of anthracyclines). Patients were treated continuously for 6 cycles. Group C received 50 mg/m² pirarubicin and 75 mg/m² epirubicin for 21 consecutive days. This was continued for 6 consecutive cycles. Efficacy levels of treatment in three groups were compared. Health Survey Summary (SF-36) scores were used to assess quality of life levels in the three groups. Echocardiography and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) were used to detect changes in left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and serum hypersensitive troponin T (hs-cTnT), as well as brain natural peptide amino terminal precursor proteins (NT-proBNP). Progression-free survival times (PFS) and total survival times (OS) were selected for survival analysis. Results: Treatment efficacy levels of group A and group B were better than those of group C (P < 0.05). Quality of life levels of group A and group B were also better (P < 0.05). There were no significant changes in LVEF of the three groups (P > 0.05). Levels of hs-cTnT and NT-proBNP in the serum of the three groups were compared. Group B levels were higher than those in group A and group C (P < 0.05). The OS of the three groups was 100%. The PFS of group A and group B was better than that of group C (P < 0.05). Conclusion: Synchronized treatment with trastuzumab combined with anthracyclines can significantly improve clinical symptoms, quality of life levels, and prognosis of patients. This method does not increase heart risks. Thus, it is worthy of promotion.
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Introduction
Breast cancer has become one of the most common malignant tumors in women, worldwide. Breast cancer incidence and mortality rates have been consistently high. Data shows that there were more than 250,000 new cases of breast cancer in the United States in 2017, with more than 40,000 people dying from the disease. In recent years, incidence of breast cancer has continued with an upward trend [1, 2]. At present, treatment of breast cancer mainly focuses on improved radical resections and breast conserving surgeries [3]. Although modern medical technology is constantly improving and most patients can achieve better curative effects, there are still many patients at risk of recurrence after surgery [4]. In some underdeveloped areas of the world, many patients are already in advanced stages at the time of diagnosis. Thus, treatment effects are generally poor [5]. Moreover, 15-30% of patients have overexpression of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (her-2). Her-2 is a growth factor with tyrosine kinase activity. It can activate multiple signaling pathways, leading to cell proliferation and cancer [6]. Patients with HER-2 positive breast cancer often have worse conditions than ordinary patients. They are prone to recurrence and metastasis, with poor clinical prognosis [7].

Trastuzumab is a novel antibody-drug conjugate. One study found that it can target HER-2
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and express anti-tumor properties, showing good effects on HER-2 positive breast cancer [8]. Combined with other drugs, prognosis and survival rates of patients with HER-2 positive breast cancer undergoing surgical resections can be significantly improved [9]. Anthracyclines are traditional chemotherapy drugs for breast cancer, including epirubicin and doxorubicin. Anthracyclines can act by binding topoisomerase II, inducing cell death, and inhibiting cell DNA and RNA synthesis. Thus, they may inhibit progression of breast cancer [10]. However, both drugs have some degree of cardiotoxicity. Patients may have cardiac adverse events after treatment [8, 10]. However, there are no conclusions concerning the advantages and disadvantages of the combined use of these two drugs in clinical practice. Therefore, the current study focused on evaluation of efficacy and safety levels of different uses of trastuzumab and anthracyclines, aiming to provide clinical reference for treatment of metastatic breast cancer.

Table 1. Comparison of general information (X ± sd) [n (%)]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical factors</th>
<th>Group A (n = 32)</th>
<th>Group B (n = 36)</th>
<th>Group C (n = 22)</th>
<th>F/x²</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>46.57 ± 6.57</td>
<td>47.32 ± 5.39</td>
<td>45.97 ± 6.13</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>0.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>62.48 ± 5.38</td>
<td>61.37 ± 6.13</td>
<td>62.83 ± 5.24</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>0.577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>21.28 ± 2.84</td>
<td>20.48 ± 3.47</td>
<td>21.53 ± 2.64</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>0.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (beat/min)</td>
<td>74.60 ± 8.40</td>
<td>76.20 ± 7.80</td>
<td>74.80 ± 8.00</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>0.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>124.60 ± 14.40</td>
<td>128.20 ± 12.60</td>
<td>126.40 ± 14.20</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>0.557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>76.20 ± 8.80</td>
<td>78.60 ± 7.40</td>
<td>76.80 ± 7.80</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>0.445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary tumor size (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>0.846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 5</td>
<td>22 (68.75)</td>
<td>27 (70.00)</td>
<td>16 (72.73)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 5</td>
<td>10 (31.25)</td>
<td>9 (25.00)</td>
<td>6 (27.27)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor staging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.206</td>
<td>0.877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>6 (18.75)</td>
<td>9 (25.00)</td>
<td>6 (27.27)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>14 (43.75)</td>
<td>15 (41.67)</td>
<td>7 (31.82)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>12 (37.50)</td>
<td>12 (33.33)</td>
<td>9 (40.91)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor types</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.508</td>
<td>0.825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>28 (84.38)</td>
<td>31 (86.11)</td>
<td>20 (90.91)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobular carcinoma</td>
<td>2 (6.25)</td>
<td>2 (5.56)</td>
<td>0 (0.00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2 (6.25)</td>
<td>3 (8.33)</td>
<td>2 (9.09)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of axillary lymph node metastases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.484</td>
<td>0.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>12 (37.50)</td>
<td>15 (41.67)</td>
<td>8 (36.36)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>10 (31.25)</td>
<td>12 (33.33)</td>
<td>6 (27.27)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-9</td>
<td>6 (18.75)</td>
<td>3 (8.33)</td>
<td>3 (13.64)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 9</td>
<td>4 (12.50)</td>
<td>6 (16.67)</td>
<td>5 (22.73)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>0.849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved radical surgery</td>
<td>30 (93.75)</td>
<td>33 (91.67)</td>
<td>21 (95.45)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast conservation surgery</td>
<td>2 (6.25)</td>
<td>3 (8.33)</td>
<td>1 (4.55)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Materials and methods

Grouping

A total of 90 patients with metastatic breast cancer were randomly divided into three groups, including A (N = 32), B (N =36), and C (N = 22) groups. Patients in each group received treatment on the same day. Treatment intervention was terminated on the same day after 6 cycles. General clinical data of each group is shown in Table 1.

Inclusion criteria: 1) Subjects diagnosed with breast cancer by clinical histopathology; 2) Subjects confirmed as positive her-2 breast cancer by immunohistochemical staining and fluorescence in situ hybridization; 3) Ages greater than 18 years old and karst scores [11] greater than 80 points; 4) Patients treated with radical mastectomy or breast conserving surgeries without definite contraindication; and 5) Distant metastasis present.
Exclusion criteria: 1) Preoperative radiotherapy, chemotherapy, or other appropriate treatments; 2) Combined with abnormal heart, brain, liver, and kidney function; 3) Women during pregnancy and lactation; 4) Allergies or contraindications to the drugs used in the experiment; 5) Patients with other systemic malignancies; 6) Patients with typical heart disease, such as unstable angina, and myocardial infarction patients; 7) Mentally abnormal, with vague consciousness or unable to communicate; and 8) Poor obedience to the experimental content.

The current study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Shibei Hospital. All subjects were informed about the experimental content and were willing to cooperate with treatment. Each subject provided informed consent.

**Experimental methods**

Patients in group A were treated with trastuzumab and anthracyclines simultaneously. Pirarubicin (Shenzhen Wanle Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., H10930105) has a body surface area of 50 mg/m² and pharmorubicin (Shandong New Times Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., H2012-3260) has a body surface area of 75 mg/m². They were used for 21 days as a chemotherapy cycle. At the same time, trastuzumab (Switzerland Roche Pharma, S20160001) was given 8 mg/kg for the first time. Thereafter, it was given at 6 mg/kg each time, once every three weeks. For each cycle, trastuzumab was administered on the same day as the first treatment with anthracyclines, with 6 consecutive cycles.

Patients in group B were treated with trastuzumab and anthracycline sequential therapy. Pirarubicin was given at 60 mg/m² and pharmorubicin was given at 90 mg/m², with a chemotherapy cycle for 21 consecutive days. Trastuzumab was first administered at the beginning of the next cycle after the end of one cycle of anthracyclines. The rest were treated the same as group B, for a total of 6 cycles.

Patients in group C were treated separately with anthracyclines. Pharmorubicin was given at 50 mg/m² and pharmorubicin was given at 75 mg/m², with a cycle of chemotherapy for 21 consecutive days and 6 cycles of continuous treatment.

**Outcome measures**

*Primary outcomes:* Tumor sizes were measured with breast cancer MRIs before treatment. Efficacy was evaluated after 2 weeks of treatment. Solid Tumor Efficacy Evaluation Criteria (RECIST) [12] were used to assess treatment efficacy. These criteria were divided into complete remission (CR): All target lesions disappeared; Partial remission (PR): Total length and diameter reduction rates of target lesions in patients were more than 30%; Disease stability (SD): Total length and diameter changes of target lesions were between PR and PD; and Disease progression (PD): New target lesions or long diameter summation of target lesions were found in the patients by more than 20%. The effective rate of treatment (DCR) was (CR + PR + SD/total number * 100%).

*Secondary outcomes:* Three months after the end of chemotherapy, quality of life scores of the three groups of patients, including physical function, mental function, social function, and mental function, were assessed using the Health Survey Summary (SF-36) [13]. The total score of each evaluation was 100. Higher scores indicate better states. Echocardiography was used to monitor left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) in the three groups of patients before and after treatment at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months. Early morning venous blood 3 mL was extracted before treatment and after treatment cycles 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6. High-speed centrifugation and supernatant were also extracted. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA)
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was used to detect hypersensitive troponin T (hs-cTnT) and brain natural peptide amino terminal precursor proteins (NT-proBNP) in the serum. Detailed steps of the experiment were strictly conducted according to kit specifications. The hs-cTnT kit was purchased from Shanghai Lianmai Bioengineering Co., Ltd., item number JCSW2869. The NT-proBNP kit was purchased from Shanghai Jinger Biological Engineering Co., Ltd., item number JK-(a)-5326. The three groups of patients were followed up for one year. They were asked whether there was disease progression, recurrence and metastasis, and survival. Observed outcomes included overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS).

Statistical methods: SPSS 19.0 statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis of experimental data. GraphPad Prism7 (Beijing Huanzhongruichi Technology CO., LTD., Beijing, China) was used to map the experimental data. Count data are expressed as percentages. Chi-square tests were used for comparisons between the groups. Measurement data are expressed by (mean ± standard deviation). Repeated measures of variance were used for comparisons of different time periods in the group. One-way analysis of variance, followed by Bonferroni’s post-hoc tests, was used for comparisons between multiple groups. Median PFS was analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method. P < 0.05 indicates statistical significance.

Results

Comparison of general information

The average age of patients in group A was (46.57 ± 6.57). The average age of patients in group B was (47.32 ± 5.39). The average age of patients in group C was (45.97 ± 6.13). Ages, weights, heart rates, blood pressure, tumor diameters, tumor stages, and tumor types of the three groups were not statistically significant (P > 0.05) (Table 1).

Comparison of treatment efficacy

After 6 cycles of treatment, 3 patients in group A achieved CR, 14 patients were PR, 5 patients were SD, and 3 patients were PD. In group B, there were 2 cases of CR, 14 cases of PR, 13 cases of SD, and 7 cases of PD. In group C, there were zero CR cases, 7 PR cases, 3 SD cases, and 12 PD cases. DCRs of the three groups were 90.63%, 80.56%, and 45.45%, respectively. The DCR of group C was lower than that of groups A and B (P < 0.05). There were no significant differences in DCRs between groups A and B (P > 0.05) (Table 2).

Quality of life comparison

SF-36 scores were used to evaluate health statuses of the three groups of patients. Results showed that scores of somatic function, psychological function, social function, and mental function of patients in groups A and B were higher than those in group C (P < 0.05). There were no significant differences in scores of body function, psychological function, social function, and mental function between the two groups (P > 0.05) (Figure 1).

Cardiac function comparison

LVEF scores of the three groups before and 3, 6, 9, and 12 months after treatment showed no significant differences (P > 0.05). There were no significant differences between LVEF time points at 6, 9, and 12 months (P > 0.05). At the same time points, there were no signifi-
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Figure 2. Comparison of LVEF in different periods between the three groups. There were no significant differences in LVEF between the three groups before treatment (P > 0.05). There were no significant differences in LVEF between the three groups before and after treatment at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months (P > 0.05). There were no significant differences in LVEF between the three groups at the same time points (P > 0.05).

Figure 3. Comparison of hs-cTnT levels in serum before and after treatment for 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 cycles. There were no significant differences in serum hs-cTnT levels between the three groups before treatment (P > 0.05). After treatment, serum levels of hs-cTnT in each group were higher than those before treatment (P < 0.05). After treatment for 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 cycles, serum hs-cTnT levels of patients in group B were higher than those in groups A and C (P < 0.05). There were no significant differences in serum hs-cTnT levels between groups A and C (P > 0.05). Note: % represents compared to Group A, P < 0.05; a represents compared to prior treatment, P < 0.05; b represents compared to after treatment 1 cycles, P < 0.05; c represents compared to after treatment 2 cycles, P < 0.05; d represents compared to after treatment 3 cycles, P < 0.05; e represents compared to after treatment 4 cycles, P < 0.05; f represents compared to after treatment 5 cycles, P < 0.05.

Comparison of hs-cTnT and NT-proBNP levels in serum before treatment and after 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 cycles of treatment

ELISA was used to detect levels of hs-cTnT and NT-proBNP in the serum of the three groups before and during treatment. Results showed no significant differences in hs-cTnT and NT-proBNP levels between the three groups before treatment (P > 0.05). Serum levels of hs-cTnT and NT-proBNP in each group of patients were higher than those before treatment (P < 0.05). Levels of hs-cTnT and NT-proBNP in the serum of group B were higher than those in groups A and C after 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 cycles (P < 0.05). There were no significant differences in serum hs-cTnT and NT-proBNP levels between the two groups (P > 0.05) after 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 cycles (Figures 3, 4).

Survival analysis

The three groups of patients were followed-up for one year. No patients died in the three groups. OS rate of the three groups was 100.00%. A total of 26 patients had recurrence and metastasis. PFS scores of the three groups were 90.63%, 80.56% and 45.45%, respectively. PFS scores of groups A and B were superior to group C (P < 0.05). There were no significant differences in PFS survival between groups A and B (P > 0.05) (Figure 5).

Discussion

With continuous increases in life pressure and bad living habits, incidence rates of breast cancer have also increased [14]. One study found that breast cancer patients tend to have negative emotions, such as anxiety, depression, and despair, after surgery. This seriously affects the quality of life of patients [15]. At present, breast cancer has become an important threat to the health of women. HER-2 positive type is a type with higher prognosis risks. Studies have indicated that, when expression of HER-2 genes on the surface of cancer cells is high, this can trigger the migration of...
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Some patients have seen serious cardiac toxicity [18]. Although there are different views on the clinical side effects, there are no definite conclusions. Therefore, the current study focused on the efficacy of trastuzumab combined with anthracyclines in the treatment of metastatic breast cancer, aiming to provide clinical reference for the clinical treatment of patients with metastatic breast cancer.

Present results showed that both group A and group B treated with trastuzumab combined with anthracyclines were better in efficacy and quality of life than group C, which was treated with anthracyclines alone. PFS levels of groups A and B were superior to group C (P < 0.05). There were no significant differences in PFS survival between groups A and B (P > 0.05), indicating that the combination of the two drugs can significantly prolong the survival times of patients with HER-2. Some patients have seen serious cardiac toxicity [18]. Although there are different views on the clinical side effects, there are no definite conclusions. Therefore, the current study focused on the efficacy of trastuzumab combined with anthracyclines in the treatment of metastatic breast cancer, aiming to provide clinical reference for the clinical treatment of patients with metastatic breast cancer.

With the rapid development of modern social science and technology, medical technology is constantly advancing. Currently, treatment of breast cancer is not limited to one or two therapies. Molecular targeted therapy has become the most popular treatment for breast cancer in recent years. Trastuzumab, a targeted drug for HER-2 positive breast cancer, has been approved for treatment of patients with advanced HER-2 positive breast cancer. It can actively exert anti-tumor properties and further improve patient status [17]. However, studies have found that trastuzumab combined with anthracyclines can prolong the survival times of patients with HER-2.

Data has shown that more than 80% of tumor metastases are from early disseminated cancer cells, indicating a certain correlation between human disseminated cancer cells and positive expression of HER-2 [16]. Of cancer cells in early lesions, as well as the proliferation of advanced primary tumor cells.

---

**Figure 4.** Comparison of NT-proBNP levels in serum before and after treatment for 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 cycles. There were no significant differences in serum NT-proBNP levels between the three groups before treatment (P > 0.05). After treatment, serum levels of NT-proBNP in each group were higher than those before treatment (P < 0.05). After treatment for 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 cycles, serum NT-proBNP levels of patients in group B were higher than those in group A and C (P < 0.05). There were no significant differences in serum NT-proBNP levels between groups A and C (P > 0.05). Note: % represents compared to Group A, P < 0.05; a represents compared to prior treatment, P < 0.05; b represents compared to after treatment 1 cycles, P < 0.05; c represents compared to after treatment 2 cycles, P < 0.05; d represents compared to after treatment 3 cycles, P < 0.05; e represents compared to after treatment 4 cycles, P < 0.05; f represents compared to after treatment 5 cycles, P < 0.05.

**Figure 5.** Survival analysis and PFS analyzed in the three groups of patients. A total of 26 patients showed recurrence and metastasis. The PFS of the three groups was 90.63%, 80.56%, and 45.45%. The PFS of groups A and B was superior to that of group C. There were no significant differences in PFS survival between groups A and group B (P > 0.05).
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improve curative effects, quality of life, and prognosis of patients. Current results are in accord with previous studies [19, 20], showing no significant differences in efficacy or survival between synchronous therapy and sequential therapy [21]. However, due to the limitations of experimental conditions in this study, only three groups of patients were followed-up for one year. The current study paid more attention to the disease progression of patients, aiming to observe the control of disease progression via different drug regimens.

Regarding the cardiotoxicity of three different regimens, LVEF levels and serum hs-cTnT and NT-proBNP levels were selected for evaluation. Results showed no significant changes in LVEF in the three groups (P > 0.05). Serum levels of hs-cTnT and NT-proBNP in the three groups were compared. Levels of hs-cTnT and NT-proBNP in group B were higher than those in groups A and C (P < 0.05). There were no significant differences in hs-cTnT and NT-proBNP levels between the two groups (P > 0.05). Results suggest that trastuzumab combined with anthracyclines is better for cardiotoxicity than trastuzumab combined with anthracyclines in sequential therapy and the use of anthracyclines alone. Of these, LVEF levels did not change significantly. Currently, LVEF is the main indicator used in clinical evaluation of the effects of chemotherapy drugs on the myocardium. However, this method is not very sensitive [22]. Changes in hs-cTnT levels have been found to be independently associated with coronary heart disease, progressive myocardial damage, and severe heart failure [23], having important clinical implications for the detection of complications in an anthracycline-based chemotherapy regimen [22]. In addition, previous studies have found that increased NT-proBNP levels after chemotherapy are significantly correlated with 1-year mortality. Even in the absence of LVEF changes, serum NT-proBNP testing can support early diagnosis of cardiac damage [24]. This is also consistent with present findings. Even if changes before and after the LVEF experiment are not obvious and the changes in serum hs-cTnT and NT-proBNP levels are large, this may indicate that the patient’s cardiomyocytes are damaged. However, it may also have something to do with the timing of the experiments. Because of the timeliness of serum biological markers, the time point in the treatment process was chosen for testing. Observing cardiac function after treatment, LVEF is used to detect the time point after treatment. This may be one of the reasons for the difference. On the other hand, previous studies [25] have found that patients receive three to four cycles of trastuzumab after anthracycline-based chemotherapy, compared with 38.5% during trastuzumab treatment. Moreover, 2.7% of patients experienced grade III or IV cardiac adverse events, respectively. Although the study showed that patients with this level of adverse reactions were able to tolerate it, it also showed that the sequential treatment regimen of trastuzumab and anthracyclines was significantly more adverse. Other studies have suggested that the use of trastuzumab and anthracyclines is a safe alternative. After comparing trastuzumab with anthracyclines for synchronous therapy and anthracyclines alone, researchers found a significant increase in total pathologic response rates and no significant increases in cardiac toxicity [26]. This result is consistent with present results, suggesting that trastuzumab and anthracyclines work well in tandem, without causing additional toxic side effects.

There were still some deficiencies to the current study. First, this study only considered short-term efficacy in survival analysis. The follow-up time was not long enough. Follow-ups should be continued to observe the long-term survival of patients. Second, for comparisons of cardiac toxicity, the time chosen among different indicators was not completely consistent. This is used to observe the patient’s myocardial tolerance and changes in cardiac function after treatment more realistically. However, the following time points can still be observed or further extended. Comparisons between the treatment process and multiple indicators at multiple time points after treatment may also need further discussion by other scholars. Third, due to limited conditions, the present experiment did not elaborate on how different cardiac toxicity levels were generated among the three groups.

In summary, simultaneous treatment with trastuzumab and anthracyclines can improve clinical efficacy and quality of life levels of patients, to a certain extent. This treatment method does not significantly increase cardiotoxici-
Effects of trastuzumab combined with anthracyclines

ty, thereby improving the prognosis of patients. Thus, it is worthy of promotion.
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