

## Original Article

# Efficacy of ultrasound-guided percutaneous catheter drainage in treatment of severe acute pancreatitis complicated with necrosis and infection

Zhihua Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Yixuan Ding<sup>2</sup>, Yuduo Wu<sup>2</sup>, Chongchong Gao<sup>2</sup>, Fei Li<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, Chaoyang Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing 100020, China; <sup>2</sup>Department of General Surgery, Xuanwu Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing 100053, China

Received September 22, 2018; Accepted November 8, 2018; Epub January 15, 2019; Published January 30, 2019

**Abstract:** *Background and aim:* Open surgery is a traditional method for treating severe acute pancreatitis (SAP), but it has greater trauma to the patient's body, so the incidence of postoperative complications is high. This study aimed to investigate the efficacy of ultrasound-guided percutaneous catheter drainage (PCD) in the treatment of SAP complicated with necrosis and infection. *Methods:* Eighty patients with SAP complicated with necrosis and infection were enrolled in this study. According to the treatment method, patients were divided into the control group (42 cases) and the observation group (38 cases), which received open abdominal drainage and ultrasound-guided PCD, respectively. Treatment efficacy, laboratory indicators, inflammatory indexes, drainage liquid bacteria culture, hospitalization time, reoperation and death/abandonment in two groups were measured and compared. *Results:* After treatment the blood WBC, blood amylase, urinary amylase, blood glucose levels, serum procalcitonin, C-reactive protein, tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ , and interleukin-6 levels in the observation group were significantly lower than those in the control group, respectively ( $P < 0.05$ ), and the hospitalization time and death/abandonment rate in the observation group were significantly lower than those in the control group, respectively ( $P < 0.05$ ). There was no significant difference between the effective rate of treatment or the bacterial culture result between the two groups ( $P > 0.05$ ). *Conclusion:* Ultrasound-guided PCD is an effective and safe method for treatment of SAP complicated with necrosis and infection. It is worthy of clinical application.

**Keywords:** Percutaneous catheter drainage, severe acute pancreatitis, necrosis, infection, efficacy

## Introduction

Severe acute pancreatitis (SAP) is a common clinical acute critical disease. Its main manifestations include abdominal pain, abdominal distention, fever, and nausea [1]. Late-stage SAP can induce severe complications such as pancreatic necrosis, tissue infection, sepsis and multiple organ dysfunction syndromes, which seriously influence the quality of life of patients [2]. SAP has acute onset, critical and complex condition and poor prognosis [3]. Research [4, 5] has shown that in more than 70% patients the SAP is related to excessive drinking, biliary tract diseases, and binge eating and drinking. The mortality rate of SAP is as high as 10%-30% [6]. Open surgery is a traditional method for treating SAP. Although open surgery can

remove the lesion tissue, it has greater trauma to the patient's body, so the incidence of postoperative complications is high, which is not conducive to the function recovery of pancreatic tissue [7]. Therefore, the choice of a better and safer way to treat SAP complicated with pancreatic necrosis and infection has become a hot topic in clinical research. Percutaneous catheter drainage (PCD) is a minimally-invasive surgical technique, with advantages such as little trauma, short operation time, little complication, and low cost [8]. Previously reported PCD for SAP is mostly performed under the guidance of computed tomography (CT) [9, 10], and the ultrasound-guided PCD is relatively rare. This study investigated the efficacy of ultrasound-guided PCD in treatment of SAP complicated with pancreatic necrosis and infec-

tion. The objective was to provide a reference for further application of this technique to treatment of SAP.

### Subjects and methods

#### *Subjects*

A retrospective analysis was made on 80 patients with SAP complicated with pancreatic necrosis and infection in our hospital from February 2013 to November 2017. There were 54 males and 26 females. The age of patients was 34-67 years, with average of  $47.22 \pm 5.71$  years. There were 46 cases of biliary pancreatitis, 29 cases alcoholic pancreatitis, and 5 cases of pancreatitis due to other reasons. The time from onset was  $19.37 \pm 4.45$  days. The Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) score was  $11.63 \pm 3.92$  points. The Balthazar CT score was  $7.53 \pm 2.43$  points. In necrotic tissue density by computed tomography CT, there were 40 cases with necrotic tissue density  $\leq 20$  Hu, 25 cases between 20 and 30 Hu, and 25 cases  $\geq 30$  Hu. The CT distribution range was  $5.56 \pm 2.03$  cm. This study was approved by the ethics committee of Chaoyang Hospital, Capital Medical University. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

#### *Inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria*

The inclusion criteria were as follows: i) the disease conformed to diagnostic standard of SAP combined with pancreatic necrosis and infection in the Guidelines for Diagnosis and Treatment of SAP issued by the Surgery Branch of the Chinese Medical Association; ii) the disease was confirmed by imaging results combined with bacterial culture; iii) the patients had complete data for statistical analysis. The exclusion criteria were as follows: i) the patients with previous history of laparotomy; ii) the patients with recurrent chronic pancreatitis; iii) SAP complicated with pancreatic necrosis and infection caused by trauma or surgery.

#### *Grouping and treatment*

According to the treatment method, 80 patients were divided into the control group (42 cases) and the observation group (38 cases). The patients in the observation group received PCD. Routine CT scan was performed before

operation to clarify the relationship between the lesion and surrounding vessels and organs. After local anesthesia with 1% lidocaine, the puncture point was selected. Under guidance by ultrasound, the puncture needle was inserted into the pancreatic necrotic tissue, and the 14 F drainage tube was inserted. The sinus tract was dilated with a dilator along the guide wire. More than one drainage tubes were used for multiple or split necrotic tissue. After successful catheterization, the liquid necrotic tissue was exhausted. The bacterial culture was performed, and the use of antibiotics was adjusted according to the result of drug sensitivity. The solid necrotic tissue was flushed the next day with sterile saline for 4-8 hour each time. Generally, the drainage effect was assessed three days after PCD treatment, including general condition assessment and total abdominal CT examination. If the drainage tube was well positioned, the drainage was smooth, but the general condition was not alleviated, the treatment was timely transferred to open surgery. If the general condition was gradually alleviated, PCD was continued.

The patients in the control group received the open abdominal drainage. After confirming the lesion position, the routine disinfection was performed, followed by local anesthesia with 1% lidocaine. The incision in the middle of abdomen was made, followed by direct laparotomy. The pancreatic capsule was incised for decompression, and the pancreatic bed was full relaxed. Necrotic pancreatic tissue was thoroughly cleared. The abdominal cavity was flushed using sterile saline. More than one drainage tube was placed in sites surrounding pancreatic bed, retroperitoneum and pelvis, then the abdominal cavity was closed and the incision was sutured. After surgery, continuous normal saline perfusion and drainage was performed. The bacterial culture of necrotic tissue was performed.

#### *Observation indexes*

Before treatment and one week after treatment, laboratory indicators including blood white blood cell (WBC), blood amylase, urinary amylase, blood glucose, and blood calcium were measured. Serum inflammatory indexes including procalcitonin, C-reactive protein (CRP), tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ), and

## Percutaneous catheter drainage for severe acute pancreatitis

**Table 1.** General patient information in the two groups

Parameter	Control group	Observation group	t/ $\chi^2$	P
n	42	38		
Age (years)	46.73±5.12	48.04±6.29	1.026	0.308
Gender (male/female, n)	28/14	26/12	0.028	0.867
Disease constitution			2.002	0.367
Biliary pancreatitis	22	24		
Alcoholic pancreatitis	16	13		
Other	4	1		
Time from onset (days)	18.55±4.08	20.22±5.13	1.619	0.110
APACHE II score (points)	11.22±2.79	12.34±3.52	1.584	0.117
Balthazar CT score (points)	7.33±2.72	8.28±2.02	1.758	0.083
Necrotic tissue density by CT			1.163	0.559
≤ 20 Hu	22	18		
20-30 Hu	14	11		
≥ 30 Hu	6	9		
CT distribution range (cm)	6.13±2.45	5.22±1.88	1.849	0.068

APACHE II, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II; CT, computed tomography

**Table 2.** Comparison of treatment efficacy between the two groups

Group	Cured [n (%)]	Improved [n (%)]	Ineffective [n (%)]	Effective rate (%)
Control	22 (52.38)	12 (28.57)	8 (19.05)	34 (80.95)
Observation	21 (55.26)	11 (28.94)	6 (15.80)	32 (84.20)
$\chi^2$				0.147
P				0.702

interleukin-6 (IL-6) were detected. In addition, the bacteria culture of drainage liquid was performed. The determination procedures were according to the instruction of kits. According to clinical symptoms and CT finding, the treatment efficacy was evaluated as follows: i) cured: the clinical symptoms and signs recovered to normal; the laboratory indicators recovered to normal; the CT examination indicated the disappearance of peri-pancreatic tissue effusion; ii) improved: the clinical symptoms and signs of patients were alleviated; the area of peri-pancreatic tissue effusion was significantly reduced; partial laboratory indicators did not returned to normal; iii) ineffective: the patient's condition continued to deteriorate, the open surgery was needed, or the patients died. In addition, hospitalization time, reoperation rate, and death/abandonment rate in two groups were observed.

### Statistical analysis

All statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS 23.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL,

USA). The enumeration data are presented as number and rate, and were compared using  $\chi^2$  test. The measurement data are presented as mean±SD, and were compared using t-test. P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

### Results

#### General information of patients in two groups

General information of patients in two groups is shown in **Table 1**. There was no significant difference of age, gender, disease constitution, time from onset, APACHE II score, Balthazar CT score, necrotic tissue density by CT, or CT distribution range between the control and observation groups (P > 0.05).

#### Comparison of treatment efficacy between two groups

After treatment, there were 22 cured cases, 12 improved cases and 8 ineffective cases in control group, with 21 cured cases, 11 improved cases and 6 ineffective cases in observation group. The effective rate of treatment in the control and observation groups was 80.95% and 84.20%, respectively, with no significant difference between two groups (P > 0.05) (**Table 2**).

#### Comparison of hospitalization time, reoperation, and death/abandonment between two groups

The hospitalization time in the observation group was 6.27±1.08 weeks, which was significantly shorter than 8.46±2.16 weeks in the control group (P < 0.01). The death/abandonment rate in the observation group was 18.42%, which was significantly lower than 40.48% in the control group (P < 0.05). There was no significant difference of reoperation rate between the two groups (P > 0.05) (**Table 3**).

## Percutaneous catheter drainage for severe acute pancreatitis

**Table 3.** Comparison of hospitalization time, reoperation and death/abandonment between the two groups

Group	Hospitalization time (weeks)	Reoperation [n (%)]	Death/abandonment [n (%)]
Control	8.46±2.16	21 (50.00)	17 (40.48)
Observation	6.27±1.08	17 (44.74)	7 (18.42)
t/χ <sup>2</sup>	5.642	0.222	4.621
P	< 0.001	0.638	0.032

**Table 4.** Comparison of laboratory indicators between the two groups

Group	WBC (× 10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	Blood amylase (U/L)	Urinary amylase (U/L)	Blood glucose (mmol/L)	Blood calcium (mmol/L)
Control					
Before treatment	16.45±3.18	705.87±89.28	1302.67±245.92	10.45±3.23	1.95±0.45
After treatment	9.62±2.12*	120.78±23.93*	678.12±223.37*	7.21±1.78*	2.22±0.37*
Observation					
Before treatment	16.36±2.56	699.32±78.45	1289.28±221.12	10.52±2.67	2.26±0.35
After treatment	7.37±1.88* <sup>#</sup>	78.12±18.73* <sup>#</sup>	458.35±156.73* <sup>#</sup>	6.45±2.21* <sup>#</sup>	2.23±0.38*

\*P < 0.05 compared with before treatment; <sup>#</sup>P < 0.05 compared with observation group. WBC, white blood cell.

**Table 5.** Comparison of inflammatory indexes between the two groups

Group	Procalcitonin (ng/ml)	CRP (μg/ml)	TNF-α (pg/ml)	IL-6 (μg/ml)
Control				
Before treatment	6.94±2.02	48.12±6.62	154.67±28.01	78.97±23.12
After treatment	4.67±0.96*	35.72±4.92*	100.57±17.67*	56.38±10.49*
Observation				
Before treatment	6.89±2.24	47.45±7.37	162.23±34.34	84.56±34.12
After treatment	3.17±0.76* <sup>#</sup>	23.58±3.89* <sup>#</sup>	68.89±15.86* <sup>#</sup>	35.44±8.17* <sup>#</sup>

\*P < 0.05 compared with before treatment; <sup>#</sup>P < 0.05 compared with observation group. CRP, C-reactive protein; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-α; IL-6, interleukin-6.

### Comparison of laboratory indicators between two groups

Before treatment, there was no significant difference of blood WBC, blood amylase, urinary amylase, blood glucose, or blood calcium between two groups ( $P > 0.05$ ). After treatment the level of each index in each group was significantly lower than before treatment ( $P < 0.05$ ). In addition, after treatment, the levels of blood WBC, blood amylase, urinary amylase, and blood glucose in observation group were significantly lower than those in control group, respectively ( $P < 0.05$ ) (**Table 4**).

### Comparison of inflammatory indexes between two groups

Before treatment, there was no significant difference of serum procalcitonin, CRP, TNF-α, or

IL-6 level between two groups ( $P > 0.05$ ). After treatment the level of each index in each group was significantly lower than before treatment ( $P < 0.05$ ). In addition, after treatment, the level of each index in the observation group was significantly lower than the control group ( $P < 0.05$ ) (**Table 5**).

### Comparison of bacterial culture result between two groups

The bacterial culture results showed that, there were 12, 25, and 5 cases of gram-positive bacteria, gram-negative bacteria, and fungus in control group, respectively, with 8, 28 and 2 cases of gram-positive bacteria, gram-negative bacteria, and fungus in observation groups, respectively. There was no significant difference between two groups ( $P > 0.05$ ) (**Table 6**).

**Table 6.** Comparison of bacterial culture result between the two groups [n (%)]

Group	Gram-positive bacteria	Gram-negative bacteria	Fungus
Control	12 (28.57)	25 (59.53)	5 (11.90)
Observation	8 (21.05)	28 (73.68)	2 (5.27)
t/ $\chi^2$		2.061	
P		0.357	

### Discussion

SAP has acute onset and severe condition, and often involves multiple organs of the whole body. The treatment of SAP is complicated, and the mortality rate is high [11]. When the pancreatic necrosis and infection occur, the mortality of SAP patients is increased significantly [12]. In the past, the debridement and drainage performed during open surgery are often regarded as the gold standard for SAP with pancreatic necrosis and infection, but the mortality of patients is still high [13]. However, open surgery is a blow to patients who are already in poor condition. In addition, the high incidence of surgery-related complications is also an important reason for the treatment failure for patients with SAP [14]. The laparoscopic catheter lavage and drainage treatment is also used for SAP. It has better efficacy and less trauma, but it needs to be carried out under general anesthesia, and the surgical operation process is more complex [15]. Studies have shown that, the patients with CTSI  $\leq$  8.0 and with fewer serious complications can be conservatively treated, while the patients with CTSI  $>$  8.0 should be treated with PCD or surgery because the conservative treatment may aggravate the fluid accumulation, tissue necrosis and other life-threatening complications [16, 17].

PCD, as a surgical drainage technique, can effectively drain the liquefied necrotic tissue, reduce the pressure in the thoracic and abdominal cavity, reduce the pressure of necrotic tissue, inhibit the absorption of bacterial toxins, thus alleviating the inflammatory response, and stabilizing the disease condition [9, 10]. This study compared the efficacy of ultrasound-guided PCD and open abdominal drainage in treatment of SAP complicated with pancreatic necrosis and infection. Results showed that, after treatment the levels of blood WBC, blood amylase, urinary amylase, and blood glucose

and serum procalcitonin, CRP, TNF- $\alpha$ , and IL-6 levels in patients with PCD were significantly lower than those in patients with open abdominal drainage, respectively ( $P < 0.05$ ), and the hospitalization time and death/abandonment rate in patients with PCD were significantly lower than those in

patients with open abdominal drainage, respectively ( $P < 0.05$ ). This indicates that, as a minimally-invasive surgery, PCD can accurately target the lesion, and effectively control the infection. It is a safe and effective way to treat SAP combined with pancreatic necrosis tissue infection.

A previous study [18] pointed out that, PCD can only replace part cases of open abdominal drainage in treating SAP. For patients with incomplete drainage after repeated catheterization, the treatment needs to be converted to open surgery. In the present study, the effective rate in the observation group was significantly higher than that in the control group, but the difference was not statistically significant ( $P > 0.05$ ). There was no significant difference of bacterial culture result between the two groups ( $P > 0.05$ ). This indicates that, when treating SAP patients with pancreatic necrosis and infection, PCD can achieve the efficacy equivalent to that in open abdominal drainage. PCD can effectively drain the effusion and toxic substances, reduce the absorption of bacteria and trypsin into the blood, and alleviate inflammatory reaction. It plays an important role in preventing abdominal infection and multiple organ failure and controlling the disease condition.

Ultrasound-guided PCD therapy is easy to operate, and can be carried out in bedside. It can facilitate multiple punctures, and is very suitable for patients with complex and changeable conditions [19]. In addition, ultrasound-guided PCD has no radiation harm to doctors and patients. During the operation, the puncture needle can be adjusted repeatedly according to the real-time ultrasound image to achieve the ideal drainage position. These are the advantages that CT guided PCD does not have. However, ultrasound-guided PCD has higher requirements for surgeons. The reason is that, retroperitoneal area showed by ultrasound is relatively unclear than CT, especially in obese

patients [20]. Therefore, surgeons are required not only to have solid knowledge of local anatomy, but also to have rich experience in ultrasound and puncture guidance.

In conclusion, PCD has significant efficacy in treatment of SAP patients with pancreatic necrosis and infection. It can effectively shorten the hospitalization time, reduce the death/abandonment rate, and improve the postoperative laboratory indicators, which is conducive to postoperative recovery of patients. This study still has some limitations. As this study is a retrospective analysis, the random allocation principle is not allocated to the patients. Furthermore, the sample size is relatively small. These issues may have influence on the persuasiveness of results, and should be solved in further studies.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Beijing Municipal Administration of Hospitals Clinical Medicine Development Project (XMLX 201404).

#### Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

**Address correspondence to:** Dr. Fei Li, Department of General Surgery, Xuanwu Hospital, Capital Medical University, 45 Changchun Street, Xicheng District, Beijing 100053, China. Tel: +86-10-63037023; E-mail: feilibj@yeah.net

#### References

- [1] Kashyap AS, Anand KP, Kashyap S. Severe acute pancreatitis. *JAMA* 2004; 292: 1305; author reply 1305.
- [2] Enver Z. Treatment of severe acute pancreatitis and its complications. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; 20: 13879-13892.
- [3] Roggenbuck D, Gohl A, Hanack K, Holzlohner P, Hentschel C, Veiczi M, Schierack P, Reinhold D, Schulz HU. Serological diagnosis and prognosis of severe acute pancreatitis by analysis of serum glycoprotein 2. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2017; 55: 854-864.
- [4] Deng L, Xue P, Huang L, Yang X, Wan M, Xia Q. Binge drinking aggravates the outcomes of first-attack severe acute pancreatitis. *Pancreas* 2010; 39: 149-152.
- [5] Kronborg O, Bülow S, Joergensen PM, Svendsen LB. A randomized double-blind trial of glucagon in treatment of first attack of severe acute pancreatitis without associated biliary disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1980; 73: 423-425.
- [6] Bai Y, Liu Y, Jia L, Jiang H, Ji M, Lv N, Huang K, Zou X, Li Y, Tang C, Guo X, Peng X, Fang D, Wang B, Yang B, Wang L, Li Z. Severe acute pancreatitis in China: etiology and mortality in 1976 patients. *Pancreas* 2007; 35: 232-237.
- [7] Zhang J, Jiang MX, Zheng Y, Shu M, Sun SB. Comparison of laparoscopy and open surgery in treating severe acute pancreatitis and its relative aftercare. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents* 2016; 30: 189-195.
- [8] van Baal MC, van Santvoort HC, Bollen TL, Bakker OJ, Besselink MG, Gooszen HG; Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group. Systematic review of percutaneous catheter drainage as primary treatment for necrotizing pancreatitis. *Br J Surg* 2011; 98: 18-27.
- [9] Mortelé KJ, Girshman J, Szejnfeld D, Ashley SW, Erturk SM, Banks PA, Silverman SG. CT-guided percutaneous catheter drainage of acute necrotizing pancreatitis: clinical experience and observations in patients with sterile and infected necrosis. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2009; 192: 110-116.
- [10] Gou S, Yang C, Yin T, Liu T, Wu H, Xiong J, Yang Z, Wang C. Percutaneous catheter drainage of pancreatitis-associated ascitic fluid in early-stage severe acute pancreatitis. *Pancreas* 2015; 44: 1161-1162.
- [11] Wang MD, Ji Y, Xu J, Jiang DH, Luo L, Huang SW. Early goal-directed fluid therapy with fresh frozen plasma reduces severe acute pancreatitis mortality in the intensive care unit. *Chin Med J (Engl)* 2013; 126: 1987-1988.
- [12] Petrov MS, Shanbhag S, Chakraborty M, Phillips AR, Windsor JA. Organ failure and infection of pancreatic necrosis as determinants of mortality in patients with acute pancreatitis. *Gastroenterology* 2010; 139: 813-820.
- [13] Werner J, Hartwig W, Hackert T, Büchler MW. Surgery in the treatment of acute pancreatitis-open pancreatic necrosectomy. *Scand J Surg* 2005; 94: 130-134.
- [14] Kiss L, Sarbu G, Bereanu A, Kiss R. Surgical strategies in severe acute pancreatitis (SAP): indications, complications and surgical approaches. *Chirurgia (Bucur)* 2014; 109: 774-782.
- [15] Wang G, Liu H, Xu L, Wen P, Wen J, Zhou SF, Xiao X. Effect of Laparoscopic peritoneal lavage and drainage and continuous venovenous diahemofiltration on severe acute pancreatitis. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A* 2017; 27: 1145-1150.
- [16] Lee KJ, Kim HM, Choi JS, Kim YJ, Kim YS, Cho JH. Comparison of predictive systems in severe acute pancreatitis according to the revised

## Percutaneous catheter drainage for severe acute pancreatitis

- atlanta classification. *Pancreas* 2016; 45: 46-50.
- [17] Chishty IA, Bari V, Pasha S, Burhan D, Haider Z, Rafique Z. Role of computed tomography in acute pancreatitis and its complications among age groups. *J Pak Med Assoc* 2005; 55: 431-435.
- [18] Park S, Lee S, Lee HD, Kim M, Kim K, Jeong Y, Park SM. Abdominal compartment syndrome in severe acute pancreatitis treated with percutaneous catheter drainage. *Clin Endosc* 2014; 47: 469-472.
- [19] Kang M, Saxena AK, Gulati M, Suri S. Ultrasound-guided percutaneous catheter drainage of splenic abscess. *Pediatr Radiol* 2004; 34: 271-273.
- [20] Ota P, Mezghani S, Hassissene S, Maleux G, Colombier D, Rousseau H, Joffre F. Imaging of retroperitoneal ganglioneuroma. *Eur Radiol* 2001; 11: 940-945.