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Three-dimensional finite element stress analysis on non-bridge external fixation to distal radius fracture
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Abstract: Objective: To use three-dimensional (3-D) finite element model to discuss the biomechanical characteristics on using AO non-bridge fixed support to treat distal radius fracture (DRF). Methods: We collected the CT image and images on fault surface and cross section of the left arms of normal male volunteers. The 3D-DOCTOR (3.5 version) software was used to set up a normal radius visualization model and construct a DRF model at a distance of 1 cm from distal radius. The ProE 5.0 software was used to construct AO non-bridge fixed support and fix it on the radius fracture model. The ANSYS 10.0 software was adopted to conduct finite element method and load test, to verify the effectiveness of the normal radius model and conduct a 3-D finite element analysis towards transmission and distribution of stress produced on the fracture face and its surrounding area after AO non-bridge fixed support was used to fix DRF. The finite element analysis included the stress distribution, transmission and the displacement that occurred under the moment influence of contraction, stretch, internal rotation and external rotation. Results: The normal radius model was verified to be effective. Under the above four operating conditions, after comparison we found that AO non-bridge fixed support had reasonable stress distribution around the fracture line and the support could play the role of stress shielding for the radius. In comparison of other operating conditions, under the stretch operating condition, the radius and AO non-bridge support underwent relatively high stress, had a displacement of 1.0 mm, but they were in a rather stable state. Conclusion: The research has discovered that under the load of four operating conditions, AO non-bridge external fixation (NBEF) can have certain stress shielding effect on the radius. The finite element analysis has found that the biomechanical nature on applying AO NBEF to fix DRF has certain guiding significance towards the treatment of radius fracture.
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Introduction

Distal radius fracture (DRF) is common fracture in the clinic, and its occurrence rate amounts to 17% among the fracture patients. This kind of fracture doesn’t generally endanger life, has light symptom and is easy to be ignored [1-3]. However, wrist joint is one of the most important joints all over the body and has relatively high requirements towards embolia. Improper treatment can affect the recovery of joint function and leave sequel, bring pains or cause life inconveniences for the patients [4-6].

Non-bridge external fixation (NBEF) was first put forward by McQueen and constitutes a treatment technology of DRF through combining closed reduction and postoperative function training [7]. Since this technology has the limitation that the distal fracture fragments shall contain two screws with a diameter of 3.5 mm, the later researchers have made improvements on its application and adopted non-bridge hybrid external fixation to treat the DRF [8].

The finite element analysis was first used in biomechanical research of orthopedics by Kang and Lotz in the early 1970s [9, 10]. This method can simulate all kinds of exercise mode and calculate the stress and displacement result of the model [11]. In recent years, the three-dimensional (3-D) finite element method has held a very important position in the biomechanical research of orthopedics [12-14]. But there are
very few application studies on the aspect of radius fracture.

This research adopts finite element software and imaging technology to obtain the 3-D finite element model of the radius of healthy common people, and then with the finite element software, the stress and displacement changes of the model are analyzed under different load conditions after AO non-bridge fixed support treatment of DRF.

Materials and methods

Construction of normal radius 3-D model

We selected the left arm sample of one healthy male volunteer (28 years old with 173 cm and 67 kg, no medical history of elbow joint, forearm injuries) who had physical examination in The Fifth Hospital of Harbin in April, 2016. CT scanning was conducted along the radial axis direction; the CT images of cross sections were input into the computer, so as to obtain the every layer cross section image of the radius. Software 3D-DOCTOR (3.5 version) was used to obtain the rebuilt 3-D visualization model of distal radius (Figure 1A).

Construction of 3-D stereo model after the DRF

The normal model was used; the distal radius 1 cm position was selected to simulate the fracture phenomena; the software 3D-DOCTOR (3.5 version) was used to get the rebuilt 3-D model of DRF (Figure 1B). In ProE 5.0, the 3-D model of AO non-bridge fixation structure was set up (Figure 1C), through simplification it was fitted together with the built 3-D model of DRF, so as to obtain the 3-D model of distal radius equipped with non-bridge fixation structure (Figure 1D).

3-D finite element analysis and calculation

The constructed normal radius 3-D visualization model and the 3-D stereo model of DRF equipped with AO NBEF were imported into large finite element analysis method, software ASNSYS 10.0. The optimization mainly included the related parameter setting, conditional assumption, meshing, boundary condition determination and loading. When some motions of normal forearm were simulated, 3-D finite element analysis was conducted towards the stress transmission and distribution conditions of distal radius; the radius finite element model under healthy condition was taken as the basic biomechanical reference on radius research.
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Table 1. Material characteristic parameters of several tissues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Modulus of elasticity (Gpa)</th>
<th>Poisson’s ratio</th>
<th>Modulus of rigidity (g/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cortical bone</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>6.6*10⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancellous bone</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>5.4*10⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interosseous membranes</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>3.2*10⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligament</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.8*10⁶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parameter setting

On conducting radius stress analysis, its elasticity modulus was set to be 13,850 MPa, and its Poisson ratio was set to be 0.35.

Conditional assumption

The distal radius is mainly made up of cancellous substance. Its stress-strain relation under the condition that stress doesn’t surpass its ultimate strength is quite similar with many engineering materials, and manifests linear relation. The model built included several tissues: bone (cortical bone and its internal cancellous bone), ligament and interosseous membranes. The material assumption involved in radius model belongs to even and homodromous elastic materials. Its material characteristics are as follows in Table 1.

Meshing

The radius model built was input into ANSYS 10.0 to conduct 3-D finite element unit partition. The grid size parameter setting adhered to the principle of considering both elaboration and economy; the grid established had 57,320 cells and 85,782 nodes [15].

Boundary conditions, loading and observation index

In order to validate the effectiveness of the model, full restraint was given to proximal radius of the model and its degree of freedom on a node basis was zero. Vertical load (100 N) was exerted on the distal articular surface. The force distribution result and displacement condition were simulated when the model was compressed or stretched, resulting in compression or stretching of the radius [16]. At the same time, torsion load vertical moment was exerted on the inside.
and outside of radius and the moment was 1 NM. In this way, we could simulate the operating conditions of external or external rotation and observe stress distribution, transmission and displacement occurrence under such an operation condition [15].

Table 2. Stress distribution and displacement on radius and ulna under the loads of all operating conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating mode</th>
<th>Radius (N)</th>
<th>Ulna (N)</th>
<th>Radial displacement (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compress</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stretching</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal rotation 60°</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External rotation 60°</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

The stress distribution and displacement conditions of normal radius were simulated under four operating conditions.

The force conditions under four operating conditions can be seen in Figure 2. The stress intensity and its distribution on the radius and ulna joint surface under all the operating conditions can be seen in Table 2.

Under the load, the stress concentrated on the junction between cancellous bone and cortical bone of the radius as well as the radial neck. It often showed the condition that there was stress concentration anomaly on some position and then the general stress tended to distribute more evenly. And it conformed to the fact that the DRF has the highest occurrence rate in clinical practice.

Comparison was made on the radioulna stress distribution and displacement conditions under different operating conditions. The discovery indicated that the stress on radius was more concentrated under contraction and stretching states, especially under stretching state, the displacement of radius could reach 0.6 mm. In contrast, under the states of internal rotation and external rotation, the stress distribution was relatively homogeneous and the displacements occurred were quite small.

Figure 3. Force condition of AO non-bridge distal radius fracture model under all operating conditions. A: Under the operating condition of contraction; B: Under the operating condition of stretching; C: Under the operating condition of internal rotation; D: Under the operating condition of external rotation.

Force and biomechanical analysis of DRF finite element model fixed by AO NBEF

Loading was conducted with the four load conditions in 2.1, so as to obtain the following (Figure 3). They were respectively (Figure 3A) force condition under the operating condition.
Table 3. Radioulna stress distribution and displacement of the AO non-bridge distal radius fracture model under the loads of all operating conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating mode</th>
<th>Distal radius fracture model (N)</th>
<th>Non-bridging external fixation (N)</th>
<th>Displacement (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compress</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stretching</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal rotation 60°</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External rotation 60°</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of contraction, (Figure 3B) stress condition under stretching, (Figure 3C) stress condition under internal rotation and (Figure 3D) stress condition under external rotation.

Table 3 is about the results of stress distribution and displacement condition test of the DRF model fixed by AO NBEF under four load conditions.

Under the four operating conditions, displacements seldom took place, which proved AO non-bridge fixation had sound stress tolerance, stress-strain basically took on linear relation and the radius could recover to the normal shape after unloading. Under the operating condition of stretching, displacement was relatively larger and the maximum could reach 1 mm. The basic internal and external rotation stress focused on the steel plate, and there was seldom displacement. Under contraction and stretching, stress mainly focused on the 2/3 part of trailing arm of fixed support. Under internal rotation and external rotation, stress mainly focused on the 2/3 part of oblique arm and trailing arm of fixed support, distributed more evenly and comprehensively concentrated on the proximity of set screws. Under the above operating conditions, AO non-bridge fixed support could play a sound stress shielding role. Although it may have a poor resistance to stretching, generally speaking, it didn't affect the fixation effect.

Discussion

DRF is one of the common fractures in the clinic, and wrist joint is one of the important fine joints of human body. According to some literature, under normal condition, about 80% axial load is supported by distal radius; the left 20% is supported by triangular cartilage and capitulum ulnae [17, 18]. If DRF isn't dealt with in time or treated improperly, the transmission system of load will collapse. The radiocarpal joint will get pains and even lead to insufficient grip strength. The distal radioulnar joint will have an incongruous occurrence; there is very obvious dynamics affection towards wrist joint [2].

As one of the major experimental methods of theoretical biomechanics, 3-D finite element method makes use of CT image and professional software to draw the normal radius 3-D finite element model and conduct value assignment towards all the parts according to the elasticity modulus and Poisson's ratio obtained by historical research data. This could improve the accuracy of simulation result and approach the real result as much as possible. In addition, through the load test, the effectiveness of the model is validated; the result coincides with the experimental research results in China and abroad [19, 20].

This experiment applies the finite element analysis method to discuss the stress distribution and displacement conditions of the DRF model fixed by AO NBEF under the four operating conditions. In comparison to the stress distribution condition of a normal radius model, the research reveals that AO non-bridge fixation DRF model reduced stress concentration under the operating conditions of contraction and stretching. It indicates that AO NBEF possesses certain stress shielding function towards DRF and can play a role of treating radius fracture. And this is quite similar with the result of a fracture 3-D finite element analysis abroad [21-23].

It is feasible to adopt finite element analysis to set up a DRF model fixed by AO NBEF and make load stress analysis. But the model built in this thesis still has inadequacies on conducting biomechanical analysis, for example, in order to simplify the model, the model material setting parameters are relatively singular, the material assumption is too homogenous and the model built doesn't include the anatomical structures like muscular tissues.

In conclusion, with the deepening of finite element analysis, the finite element analysis combining with the biomechanical analysis applica-
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tion of NBEF DRF model will be more widely applied in clinical practice, possessing guiding significance towards the treatment of radius fracture.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Feng Wang, Department of Orthopaedics, The Fifth Hospital of Harbin, No.27 Jiankang Road, Xiangfang District, Harbin 150040, Heilongjiang Province, China. Tel: +86-13836188911; E-mail: wangfeng3x@163.com

References


