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Noise exposure could increase the mortality of coronary heart disease: evidence from a meta-analysis
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Abstract: Purpose: Quantification of the association between noise exposure and risk of coronary heart disease (CHD) mortality is still conflicting. Thus, we conducted a meta-analysis summarizing the evidence from epidemiological studies to assess the association between them. Methods: Pertinent studies were identified by a search of PubMed and Web of Knowledge up to October 2015. The random effect model was used. Sensitivity analysis, subgroup analyses and publication bias were conducted. Results: Eight studies (6 cohort studies and 2 case-control studies) involving 23,749 CHD deaths were used in this meta-analysis. Pooled results suggested that highest noise exposure level versus lowest level was significantly associated with the increased risk of CHD deaths [summary RR=1.21, 95% CI=1.05-1.40, I²=37.5%], especially in European populations [summary RR=1.14, 95% CI=1.01-1.30]. The associations were also found in the cohort studies and in the subgroup analysis of the method to assess noise by modeling. Conclusions: Our analysis suggested that highest levels of noise exposure might increase the risk of CHD deaths, especially in European populations. As most of the current studies were from the Europe, additional studies are needed from other geographic locations and any further studies should adjust for more confounding factors.
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Introduction

Epidemiologic studies have demonstrated that air pollution is associated with increased coronary heart disease (CHD) morbidity and mortality [1]. Meanwhile, accumulating evidence has suggested that community noise from road and air traffic is associated with an increased risk of CHD, especially on myocardial infarction (MI) [2-4]. In metropolitan areas, road traffic is a major contributor to ambient air pollution and the dominant source of community noise [5, 6]. Persons exposed to higher levels of air pollution might also be exposed to excessive traffic noise [5-7]. Therefore, it is possible that the observed associations between air pollution and adverse CHD outcomes disease and deaths could be confounded by community noise and vice versa [6]. Up to date, epidemiologic studies reporting the effect of noise exposure on CHD deaths risk conveyed conflicting results. Furthermore, due to small sample sizes, most studies were not adequately powered to detect the effect of noise exposure on CHD deaths risk. Thus, in order to provide the latest and more convincing evidence, we systematically reviewed the current available epidemiologic studies to investigate whether noise exposure was associated with increased CHD deaths risk.

Materials and methods

Literature search and study selection

PubMed and Web of Science were searched from their inception to October, 2015 by using a combination of Medical Subject Headings and related common keywords were used including “noise” AND “coronary heart disease” OR “CHD” OR “ischemic heart disease” OR “myocardial infarction” OR “coronary artery disease” AND “mortality” OR “death”. No language restriction was applied. The search strategy is shown in Figure 1.

Studies meeting the following inclusion criteria were included: observational studies (prospective or retrospective cohort studies or case-control studies); investigated the association
Noise exposure and CHD mortality

Results

Study identification and selection

Our systematic literature search yielded a total of 8 studies [3, 11-16] on noise exposure and CHD mortality risk in the final analysis. There are 1211 records were identified by searching the databases and hand-searching relevant bibliographies. Four hundred and ninety-eight records were excluded for duplicates and an additional 690 records were excluded based on the titles and abstracts. The remaining 23 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility, and 15 were further excluded due to the reasons present in Figure 1. The characteristics of these studies are presented in Table 1.

Highest versus lowest category

Data from 8 studies including 23749 CHD deaths were used in this meta-analysis. Two studies reported that highest noise exposure could increase the risk of CHD deaths, while no significant association was reported in 6 studies. Pooled results suggested that highest noise exposure level versus lowest level was significantly associated with the increased risk of CHD deaths [summary RR=1.21, 95% CI=1.05-1.40, I²=37.5%] (Figure 2).
## Table 1. Characteristics of the included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Country (year)</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Follow-up (years)</th>
<th>Participants (deaths)</th>
<th>Methods to assess noise</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjustment for covariates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Davies et al. 2005</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27464 (81)</td>
<td>Modeling</td>
<td>4.00 (1.80, 9.30)</td>
<td>Age, calendar year, and South Asian ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mc Namee et al. 2006</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Nested case-control</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2202 (1101)</td>
<td>Modeling</td>
<td>1.13 (0.92, 1.39)</td>
<td>Systolic and diastolic BP, BMI, smoking, height and duration of employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heinonen-Guzejev et al. 2007</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>31-88</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>688 (111)</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
<td>Male: 1.52 (0.73, 3.18)</td>
<td>Age, noise sensitivity, hypertension, smoking and emphysema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Female: 1.08 (0.48, 2.44)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beelen et al. 2009</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>55-69</td>
<td>Case-cohort</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>117528 (3521)</td>
<td>Modeling</td>
<td>1.15 (0.86, 1.53)</td>
<td>Age, sex, smoking status and area level indicators of socio-economic status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huss et al. 2010</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>&gt; 30</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4580331 (15532)</td>
<td>Modeling</td>
<td>1.30 (0.96, 1.76)</td>
<td>Sex and demographic, socioeconomic and geographical variables, air-pollution levels and distance to major roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gan et al. 2012</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>45-85</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>445868 (3095)</td>
<td>Modeling</td>
<td>1.22 (1.04, 1.43)</td>
<td>Age, sex, preexisting comorbid conditions, and neighborhood SES were included as covariates and air pollution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suadicani et al. 2012</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>53-75</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2998 (197)</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
<td>0.97 (0.71, 1.33)</td>
<td>Age, hearing impairment, blood pressure, diabetes, fasting serum triglycerides and HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, glucosuria, cancer, BMI, alcohol, tobacco, leisure-time physical activity, and social class</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RR, Relative risk; CI, Confidence interval.
Table 2 reports the pooled RRs for noise exposure and CHD deaths in combined all results and selected subgroups. In the subgroup analyses, by study design, cohort studies showed an increased risk of noise exposure on CHD deaths with a RR of 1.29 (95% CI: 1.02-1.63), similar to the overall analysis. But, the association was not significant in the case-control studies. Regarding the type of geographic locations, the association was only significant in the European populations [summary RR=1.14, 95% CI=1.01-1.30], but not in the North American and other populations else. When stratified by methods to assess noise, there was a statistically significant association in the studies assessed by modeling (summary RR=1.26, 95% CI=1.05-1.51), but not in the studies assessed by questionnaire.

Due to the limitation information of the reported studies on sex, we only combined the results for male, as no studies reported the association for female only. However, we did not find significant association in the male population.

In sensitivity analyses (Figure 3), no individual study had excessive influence on the association of noise exposure and CHD deaths risk. Egger’s test (P=0.271) and Funnel plot (Figure 4) showed no evidence of significant publication bias between noise exposure and CHD deaths risk.

Discussion
The present meta-analysis identified 8 observational studies investigating noise exposure on CHD deaths risk. Our analysis showed that noise exposure was associated with a 21%
increase in risk of CHD deaths when highest reported noise exposure was compared with lowest reported noise exposure. Moreover, this finding was consistent across sensitivity analyses and most subgroup analyses, and no publication bias was observed.

An association between noise and CHD is biologically plausible, and etiologic models of stress and heart disease have been proposed and extended to noise and heart disease [17]. Acute noise exposure activates the sympathetic nervous and neuroendocrine systems, causing the temporary increase of blood pressure and heart rate. Repeated and prolonged exposure to noise may lead to permanent effects, such as atherosclerosis, hypertension and ischemic heart disease [4]. In addition, exposure to high level of noise could increase the level of perceptive stress [18]. These promote the development of CHD and increase the CHD mortality.

As a meta-analysis of published studies, our findings showed some advantages. First, this is the first comprehensive meta-analysis of noise exposure and CHD deaths risk based on highest versus lowest analysis. Second, large number of participants was included, allowing a much greater possibility of reaching reasonable conclusions between noise exposure and CHD deaths risk. Third, no significant publication bias was found, indicating that our results are stable.

Some limitations in this meta-analysis should be concerned. First, a meta-analysis of observational studies is susceptible to potential bias inherent in the original studies, especially for case-control studies. Overstated association may be expected from the case-control studies because of recall or selection bias, and early symptoms in patients may have resulted in a change in dietary habits. In our meta-analysis, the significant association was only found in cohort studies, but not in the case-control studies, while only 2 studies included were case-control design. Second, the methods to assess noise are important, which can lead to overestimation of the range of noise and underestimation of the magnitude of the relationship.
between noise exposure and CHD deaths risk. In the present study, the association was only significant in the method of modeling, but not in the questionnaire. This may be caused by the bias of recall. Third, for the subgroups of geographic locations, the association was only significant in the European population, but not in the North America. Due to this limitation, the results are applicable to the Europe, but cannot be extended to populations elsewhere. More studies originating in other countries are required to investigate the association between noise exposure and CHD deaths risk. In summary, results from this meta-analysis suggested that the highest levels of noise exposure might increase the risk of CHD deaths, especially in European populations. As most of the current studies were from the Europe, additional studies are needed from other geographic locations and any further studies should adjust for more confounding factors.

Acknowledgements

Shandong province natural science foundation of China (ZR2013HM003).

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Xiaojun Cai, Department of Cardiology, Jinan Central Hospital Affiliated to Shandong University, 105 Jiefangroad, Jinan 250013, Shandong, China. E-mail: xjcai2016@163.com

References


