Expression of FAP is correlated with clinical prognosis in ovarian cancer patients
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Abstract: Background: Ovarian cancer is the second most common cancer in women and the leading cause of cancer-related death from gynecological cancer. Fibroblast activation protein (FAP) is best known for its presence in stromal cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs). The aim of this study was to investigate the expression of FAP in ovarian cancer and its significance in clinical prognosis. Material and methods: The expression of FAP in 102 formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded ovarian cancer tissues and the adjacent tissues were examined by immunohistochemistry (IHC). The results were semi-quantitatively scored and analyzed by chi-square test. The overall survival time (OS) were collected by follow-up and analyzed by Kaplan-Meier analysis. Results: The expression level of FAP in ovarian cancer were higher than that in the adjacent tissues ($P<0.05$). FAP expression was shown to be correlated with lymph node metastasis ($P<0.05$), latent distant metastasis status ($P<0.05$) and FIGO histology grade ($P<0.05$). In addition, we found that patients with a higher expression of FAP tended to have much shorter survival time than patients with lower FAP expression. Conclusion: High FAP expression is associated with poor prognosis in ovarian cancer and may serve as a novel prognostic marker in ovarian cancer.
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Introduction

Ovarian cancer is the second most common cancer in women and the leading cause of cancer-related death from gynecological cancer [1]. There are 225,000 new cases diagnosed and 140,000 deaths from ovarian carcinoma annually worldwide [2]. Even though substantial advances have been already made in early detection, treatment, and basic research, it is still less than 30% for the overall 5-year survival rate [3]. The poor prognosis for ovarian cancer largely remains undetectable in early stage and lack of reliable biomarkers to evaluate its prognostic progression [4-6]. Thus, further research to identify the specific molecular and/or genetic variations in ovarian cancer could translate into improved prognosis and insight into molecular mechanisms of ovarian cancer development and progression [7].

Fibroblast activation protein (FAP) (also called seprase) is a type II integral membrane protein belonging to the family of plasma membrane-bound serine proteases [8, 9]. FAP is characterized by its ability to cleave after a proline residue. Its crystal structure has confirmed that the enzyme exists as a homodimer and that dimerization is necessary for enzymatic function [10]. Functionally, FAP can enhance stromal cell proliferation and invasiveness, and affect cell apoptosis. The expression of FAP is highly restricted to cancer-associated fibroblasts but it is not expressed in resting fibroblasts in normal tissue, although it can be induced to express in nontransformed, activated stromal fibroblasts [11]. Especially in human malignancies, FAP expression was found on the surface of fibroblasts in many epithelial cancers, including breast cancer [12], colon cancer [13], prostate cancer [14], pancreatic cancer [15], and skin cancer [16], as well as in some soft tissue and bone sarcomas [17]. The cancer-specific distribution of FAP makes it as a potential novel prognostic marker and therapeutic target in cancer.

However, the expression of the FAP in ovarian cancer and its significance has not been examined in detail yet. In the present study, we aimed to detect the expression of FAP in ovarian cancer and analyze its correlation with the clinicopathological features of ovarian cancer via immunohistochemical method.
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Material and methods

Tumor specimens and study patients

A total of 102 formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded ovarian cancer tissues and the adjacent tissues to perform immunohistochemical staining, which were collected from January 2002 to November 2012 at East Hospital, Tongji University. Important clinical data, such as age, tumor size, lymphatic metastasis, latent metastasis, TNM stage, were collected from each patient’s medical records. The follow-up time was calculated from the date of surgery to the date of death, or the last known follow-up. Before surgical therapy, none of the patients had received neoadjuvant chemotherapy, radiation therapy, or other related anti-tumor therapies. All ovarian cancer tissue samples in this study were obtained with patients’ written informed consent and all experiments have been approved by the ethics committee at local Hospital. Patient characteristics were detailed in Table 1.

Immunohistochemistry staining

The two-step EnVision method has been conducted to perform immunohistochemical experiments. Three magnification visions randomly observed under optical microscope, the number of positive cells in no less than 3 × 100 cells was record, and then calculate the positive rate of positive cells to all cells. The dyeing positive rate was included for the statistical analysis: the positive rate equal or less than 95% was treated as low expression group, otherwise, it was included in high expression group.

Statistical analysis

The expression of FAP in ovarian cancer and adjacent cancer tissues were compared with paired Wilcoxon test. The association between clinical characteristics of ovarian cancer patients and FAP expression were using Pearson and Spearman’s correlation test. The prognostic of ovarian cancer and FAP protein expression were using Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and log-rank test for univariate analysis; the significant variables resulted from univariate test were included in the Cox multivariate regression analysis. The P-value less than 0.05 is considered statistically significant.

Results

Expression of FAP significantly increased in ovarian cancer tissues

In order to determine whether FAP expression is changed in human ovarian cancer, Immunohistochemistry staining was exerted in TMA slides to evaluate the FAP expression in ovarian cancer tissues and paired adjacent non-tumor tissues (Figure 1). In training cohort TMA slides containing 102 cases ovarian cancer tissues with paired adjacent non-cancerous tissues, the positive percentage of FAP expression in ovarian cancer and adjacent non-tumor tissues were 59.8% (61/102) and 6.9% (7/102), respectively. There is significantly higher positive expression of FAP in ovarian cancer compared with the adjacent non-tumor tissues (P<0.05) (Figure 2).

Increased FAP expression correlates with clinicopathological parameters

The correlation between patients’ clinical parameters and the expression of FAP is shown in

Table 1. Expression of FAP in relation to pathologic and clinical variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinopathological parameters</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>FAP expression</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>61 41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;60</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>42 26</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>0.568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>19 15</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td>0.379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10 cm</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>38 29</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td>0.379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥10 cm</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23 12</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>0.568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>21 35</td>
<td>25.697</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>40 6</td>
<td>17.560</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latent distant metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>35 39</td>
<td>26.286</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26 2</td>
<td>26.286</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>26 38</td>
<td>25.697</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>35 3</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilaterality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>50 33</td>
<td>11.784</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11 8</td>
<td>11.784</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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(χ² = 25.679, P<0.05), latent distant metastasis status (χ² = 17.560, P<0.05) and FIGO histology grade (χ² = 26.286, P<0.05). However, we did not find significant correlation between FAP expression with other clinicopathologic features, including patient’s age, tumor size, tumor location (P>0.05 for all). Therefore, our data demonstrates that higher FAP expression in ovarian cancer tissues is positively correlated with tumor metastasis and cancer progression, suggesting that FAP expression is involved in the progression of human ovarian cancer.

Increased FAP correlates with poor patient survival

In order to further investigate the prognostic significance of FAP expression in human ovarian cancer, we performed the log-rank survival analysis according to the FAP expression level in cancer tissues and collected survival data. The survival analysis demonstrates that the overall survival rate of the subgroup with negative expression is significantly better than that the subgroup with positive FAP expression (P<0.05, Figure 3).

FAP serves as an independent molecular prognostic indicator for ovarian cancer

Moreover, multivariate analyses were conducted to confirm the possibility of FAP used as an independent risk factor for poor prognosis in the 102 cases of ovarian cancer. Multivariate Cox regression analysis confirmed FAP expression as independent predictor of the OS in ovarian cancer patients (Table 2).

Table 1. It reveals that FAP expression in the ovarian cancer tissues is significantly correlated with lymph node metastasis (LNM) status.

Figure 1. Expression of PDGF-BB of ovarian cancer tissues (A) and tissues adjacent to ovarian cancer (B) studied by immunohistochemistry in tissue microarrays [Original magnification, × 200].

Figure 2. Expression of PDGF-BB in ovarian cancer tissues compared to its adjacent tissues. Results show that there was significant difference between the groups which were statistically evaluated by chi-square test.

Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis for a univariate survival analysis.
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Table 2. Analysis of independent correlation factors of colorectal cancer prognosis with OS by Cox multivariate regression analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
<th>Wald</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp (B)</th>
<th>95% CI for Exp (B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAP expression</td>
<td>-1.327</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>21.185</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>0.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.250</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>1.991</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>0.433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size</td>
<td>-0.320</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>0.968</td>
<td>0.613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis</td>
<td>-0.614</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>2.875</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.541</td>
<td>0.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latent distant metastasis</td>
<td>-0.689</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>3.049</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>0.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>-0.694</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td>2.300</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.204</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Ovarian cancer remains the most lethal gynecologic malignancy worldwide, and the survival rates remain low in spite of great medical advancements. Clinicopathological parameters such as tumor grade of differentiation and clinical stage have been used to evaluate the progression of ovarian cancer but their sensitivity is relatively low [18]. Due to lack of specific symptoms and early reliable biomarkers, the majority of patients present at an advanced stage (60%-70% present at stage III or stage IV) [19]. Therefore, better defining the pathogenesis, looking for useful biomarkers, and exploring novel therapeutic targets of ovarian cancer are demanding tasks [20].

A number of studies have demonstrated the expression of FAP in many tumors. It was reported that FAP was significantly overexpressed in osteosarcoma and was correlated with high histological grade, positive metastatic status and shorter overall survival [21]. It was also found that FAP was overexpressed in colon cancer and was associated with poor prognosis [22]. The expression of FAP was elevated in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma tissue microarrays, overexpression of FAP was relevant to the distant metastasis and poor prognosis of pancreatic adenocarcinoma [23, 24]. Our findings regarding FAP expression in ovarian cancer are consistent with the above results.

FAP, as a member of the S9B family of serine proteases, has attracted increasingly attention in recent years because it is a selective biomarker of cancer-associated fibroblasts and activated fibroblasts in tissues undergoing remodeling of their extracellular matrix due to wound healing or chronic inflammation [25]. FAP consists of 760 amino acids; an intracellular domain of six amino acids, followed by a 20-residue single pass transmembrane domain, and a 734 residue-long extracellular domain comprised of a β-propeller domain and a catalytic domain [26]. FAP exhibits both dipeptidy peptidase and collagenase proteolytic activity [27]. Thus, FAP can cleave NH2-terminal dipeptides from polypeptides with L-proline or L-alanine in the penultimate position. Meanwhile, it can degrade both gelatin and native type I collagen, and thereby promote cancer cell invasion and migration [28].

Accumulating experimental evidence has demonstrated that cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), can promote tumorigenesis and progression through many kinds of mechanisms, including invasion, proliferation, angiogenesis [29, 30]. FAP, as an essential component of the tumor microenvironment, is an important marker of activated CAFs. It can increase stromal cell proliferation and invasiveness, reduce cell apoptosis, and is involved in tumorigenesis and cancer progression.

Obviously, investigating the association between FAP expression and the prognosis of patients with ovarian cancer may be beneficial to understanding the underlying molecular mechanisms involved in the cancer progression and enabling the identification of novel targets for ovarian cancer treatment. In the present study, FAP expression is shown to be correlated with lymph node metastasis, latent distant metastasis status and FIGO histology grade, suggesting that FAP may be involved in the carcinogenesis and metastasis of ovarian cancer. In addition, we found that patients with a higher expression of FAP tended to have much shorter survival time than patients with lower FAP expression, indicating that high FAP expression is a potential biomarker for predicting the poor prognosis of ovarian cancer.
Conclusions

In conclusion, the expression of FAP is increased in ovarian cancer tissues and it is correlated with lymph node metastasis, latent distant metastasis status and FIGO histology grade. In addition, high expression of FAP was associated with poorer survival and served as an independent prognostic factor in patients with ovarian cancer; suggesting that FAP may be a promising prognostic biomarker for ovarian cancer. However, the relatively small sample of this study is a limitation; a larger sample size would definitely be desirable with longer follow-up to firmly establish the diagnostic value of FAP in ovarian cancer. In addition, further studies are needed to elucidate the role of FAP in ovarian cancer at the cellular level.
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