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Evaluation of the modified severity of obstetrical illness scoring system for predicting the outcome of critically ill obstetric patients
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Abstract: Objective: To evaluate of the modified severity of obstetrical illness scoring system for predicting the outcome of critically ill obstetric patients. Methods: A total of 165 critically ill obstetric patients were enrolled. The modified severity of obstetrical illness score and acute physiology and chronic health evaluation (APACHE II) were used to evaluate the severity of obstetrical illness. Deaths and organ dysfunctions were recorded. Results: Severity of obstetrical illness scores of dead patients was significantly higher than survival patients and the higher scores indicated the higher death rate. Conclusion: The modified severity of obstetrical illness scoring system was efficient on the evaluation of the severity of obstetrical illness and predicting the outcome of critically ill obstetric patients.
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Introduction
The critically ill obstetric patients pose a number of management problems to the intensive care physician. The physiological changes of pregnancy, diagnoses specific to the pregnant state and the presence of a fetus complicate their assessment and management. Outcome is influenced by the precipitous deterioration which can occur and the equally rapid recovery which may follow delivery. The need for accurate assessment of the severity of disease in critically ill obstetric patients would not only contribute to the assessment of the quality of patient care, but would also enhance risk stratification of pregnant women in the evaluation of new therapies.

Several severity of obstetrical illness scoring systems [1-3] can be used to predict the outcome of the critically ill obstetric patients [4-7], such as acute physiology and chronic health evaluation (APACHE II), simplified acute physiology score (SAPS II) and mortality probability models (MPM II). Although APACHE II was found to underestimate intensive care unit (ICU) maternal mortality [8], it was the most commonly used scoring system for predicting the outcome of critically ill obstetric patients at present.

We modified APACHE II based on the features of obstetrics. In this study, we compared the effectiveness of APACHE II and the modified severity scoring of illness system in predicting the outcome of critically ill obstetric patients.

Methods
Patients
A total of 165 critically ill obstetric patients from January 2006 to December 2012 were enrolled in a retrospective study. The patients (age range 19-43 years; mean age 29.84±4.85 years) included 93 primiparas and 72 multiparas. The modified severity of obstetrical illness score and acute physiology and APACHE II were used to evaluate the severity of obstetrical illness. Deaths and organ dysfunctions were recorded.

All patients enrolled in the study provided informed written consent. The subjects of this study were treated according to the World
Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki ethical principles and the study was approved by the local Ethics Committee.

Severity of obstetrical illness scoring system

APACHE II score was derived from 12 physiologic measurements: temperature (T), mean arterial pressure (MAP), heart rate (HR), respiratory rate (RR), arterial pH, oxygenation, serum sodium (Na), serum potassium (K), and serum creatinine (Cr), hematocrit (HCT), white blood cell count (WBC), and Glasgow coma scale (GCS) score, in addition to the age and the chronic health status of the patients [9].

The modified severity of obstetrical illness scoring criteria was shown in Table 1. Eighteen physiological indexes were collected from the patients to evaluate the severity of obstetrical illness, including T, MAP, RR, HR, arterial pH, Na, K, Cr, HCT, WBC, albumin (ALB), blood glucose concentration (BGC), serum total bilirubin (STB), plasma fibrinogen (FIB), platelet (PLT), alanine transaminase (ALT), oxygenation and GCS.

Statistical analysis

SPSS 13.0 was used for statistical analysis. The continuous variables following normal distribution were presented as mean ± SD (±s) and were compared using t test. The continuous variables of non-normal distribution were presented as median and interquartile range and compared using rank sum test. P<0.05 indicated significant differences.
Results

Scores of dead patients were significantly higher than survival patients

Three patients died in this study and 162 patients survived. Scores of dead patients were significantly higher than survival patients no matter using APACHE II or the modified severity of obstetrical illness scoring system (P<0.01, Table 2). It indicated that APACHE II and the modified severity of obstetrical illness scoring system were both effective methods to predict the death of critically ill obstetric patients.

The higher scores indicated the higher death rate

Critical ill obstetric patients with the higher scores would have the higher death rate either using APACHE II or the modified severity of obstetrical illness scoring system. Patients with more than 35 points of the modified severity of obstetrical illness score would have a death rate of 100%. Patients would 100% survival when their scores were less than 10 points (Table 3).

The correlation of the modified severity of obstetrical illness scores and organ dysfunctions

As the results in Table 4, 26 points was the best cut-off value of the modified severity of obstetrical illness scoring system. Its sensitivity was 84.46%, specificity was 85.33%, diagnostic efficiency (DE) was 0.8464 and diagnostic index (DI) was 169.79. Patients with more than 35 points of the modified severity of obstetrical illness score would have a death rate of 100%. Patients would 100% survival when their scores were less than 10 points.

Discussion

In this study, scores of dead patients were significantly higher than survival patients and the risk of death for critically ill obstetric patients...
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Table 5. Organ dysfunctions of patients with scores in the different ranges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score Range</th>
<th>1 Organ Dysfunction (n, %)</th>
<th>2 Organ Dysfunctions (n, %)</th>
<th>3 Organ Dysfunctions (n, %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤10 points</td>
<td>12 (19.35%)</td>
<td>0 (0.00%)</td>
<td>0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20 points</td>
<td>34 (40.00%)</td>
<td>2 (2.35%)</td>
<td>0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30 points</td>
<td>5 (29.41%)</td>
<td>10 (58.88%)</td>
<td>2 (11.76%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥31 points</td>
<td>0 (0.00%)</td>
<td>0 (0.00%)</td>
<td>1 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum (n=165)</td>
<td>51 (30.91%)</td>
<td>12 (7.27%)</td>
<td>3 (1.82%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

increased with the increase of severity of obstetrical illness scores. Our modified severity of obstetrical illness scoring system could reflect the severity of obstetrical illness well, since the patients with higher scores had worse conditions and more risk of death. It could be applied to predicting the outcome of critically ill obstetric patients.

APACHE II was designed for ICU and it was efficient on the evaluation of the conditions of critically ill patients. However, for patients in the special group APACHE II could not be very accurate to predict the outcome of patients [10]. Studies suggested that APACHE II was not efficient on predicting the outcome of some special cases, such as trauma patients, patients undergoing total parenteral nutrition, serious surgery patients, patients with acute myocardial infarction, patients with severe congestive heart failure and elderly patients. APACHE II was rarely used in critically ill obstetric patients [11]. Bhagwanjee et al. [12] found that APACHE II without GCS score had little value for predicting the outcome of patients with pre-eclampsia.

Severe obstetric hemorrhage, placental abruption, anamniotic fluid embolism and gestational hypertension disease usually involved coagulation disorders. Indexes in the modified severity of obstetrical illness scoring system, such as FIB, PLT and HCT could reflect the coagulation of patients [13, 14].

Liver disease easily occurs in pregnancy, such as acute fatty liver of pregnancy, viral hepatitis in pregnancy and intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy. It might result in hepatic failure and a bad prognosis, imperiling patients’ lives [15]. STB, ALB and ALT would reflect the liver function. The modified severity of obstetrical illness scoring system was composed of comprehensive testing indexes including physiological changes of ICU patients and the special conditions of pregnant women. The cut-off values of the indexes also referred to the physiological changes of pregnant women. All the 18 physiological indexes could be easily obtained from patients in the emergency department in 2 hours. Therefore, using the modified severity of obstetrical illness system could help doctors evaluate the severity of obstetrical illness and predict the risk of death for critically ill obstetric patients quickly and accurately. It might help critically ill obstetric patients prevent the illness from becoming worse and reduce mortality.

The modified severity of obstetrical illness scores had a close relation to the severity of illness. The critically ill obstetric patients with higher scores had worse conditions and more risk of death. Less than 10 points indicated that patients’ conditions were stable. Eleven to 20 points meant that changes of vital signs should be monitored for patients. Patients got 21 to 30 points should be admitted to senior ward or ICU and patients scored more than 26 points should receive multimodality therapy in ICU. When patients had scores more than 31, they would have a mortality risk of 78.63%. A critical condition notice might be issued, multimodality treatment should be used, and the prevention and control of disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), shock and infection must be strengthen. More than 35 points predicted an expected mortality rate of 98.99% and a critical condition notice should be issued, multimodality treatment should be used, and a contingency plan should be started.

In conclusion, the modified severity of obstetrical illness system with 18 physiological indexes was efficient on the evaluation of the severity of obstetrical illness and predicting the outcome of critically ill obstetric patients.
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