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Red blood cell distribution width as a prognostic biomarker for mortality in traumatic brain injury
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Abstract: Objective: Traumatic brain injury (TBI) often cause morbidity and mortality, but it is hard to obtained predictive biomarkers. Red blood cell distribution width (RDW) has been reported as a mortality marker in cardiovascular disease. But it is unknown whether RDW is associated with the mortality of TBI patients. The aim of this study is to identify whether RDW is a prognostic biomarker for TBI mortality. Methods: A total of 122 patients with TBI were included retrospectively. Patients were divided into Survival Group and Non-survival Group, RDW was compared between 2 groups. Receiver Operating Curve (ROC) was used to evaluate the mortality predictive performances. Results: 122 patients were included, the male-female ratio was 2.59 (88/34) with their median age of 49.5 (17-89) years. 13 (11.93%) of them were divided into Non-survival Group. We observed significant difference in RDW between two groups (P < 0.05). The cut-off level for RDW in TBI was ≥ 12.85, with the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV) were 65.4%, 95.7%, 69.7%, 82.6%, respectively; and area under the curve was 0.805 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.703-0.906). Conclusions: Red cell distribution width is a predictor of mortality in patients with TBI.
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Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) causes worldwide concern, as one of the leading causes of mortality and morbidity, continued in case of an urgent concern or emergency, especially in young and older adults [1]. However, few biomarkers were reported in clinical practice when evaluating the brain damage. Recent researchers found that protein S-100 beta (β), neuron-specific enolase (NSE), glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) and myelin basic protein (MBP) etc. could be used as promising markers of TBI [2-6]. However, considering the convenience and operability of clinical practice, none of these could be easy and widely used prognostic biomarkers for TBI mortality evaluating.

Complete blood count is a laboratory test widely used in clinical practice and comprises. As a part of the complete blood count, red cell distribution width (RDW) is often used to differentiate the etiology of anemia [7]. Previous studies have indicated that the RDW was associated with mortality and other severe adverse outcomes of cardiovascular disease [8], severe acute pancreatitis (SAP) [9] and many other diseases [10, 11]. Recent study exhibits that RDW may be a prognostic biomarker for mortality even for the general population [12]. These researches inspired us to explore whether RDW could be used as a prognostic biomarker for mortality in traumatic brain injury. In this study, we retrospectively analyzed the RDW in traumatic brain injury patients.

Methods

Collected data

The primary endpoint of this retrospective study was 28-day mortality. According to survival or death results, patients were divided into two groups: Survival Group and Non-survival Group. RDW was compared between two groups. The values of RDW in predicting mortality was eval-
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Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics between two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Survival Group (n = 113)</th>
<th>Non-survival Group (n = 13)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>49 (36-63)</td>
<td>63 (34-83)</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit</td>
<td>38.9 (36.1-41.3)</td>
<td>35.4 (31.6-41.3)</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin (g/L)</td>
<td>135 (125-148)</td>
<td>138 (129-147)</td>
<td>0.718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDW (%)</td>
<td>12.1 (11.8-12.7)</td>
<td>12.9 (12.45-13.45)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS scores</td>
<td>14 (14-15)</td>
<td>4 (3.5-12)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as median value (interquartile range).

Figure 1. Receiver operating characteristics curve of the RDW.

Table 2. Sensitivity, specificity, +LR, -LR, PPV and NPV of RDW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RDW</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>+LR</th>
<th>-LR</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 12.85%</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
<td>82.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical analysis

The collected data were found to be non-normally distributed. Accordingly, all data are presented as median value (interquartile range), with non-parametric analyses being used to assess groups’ differences. Univariate analysis was performed using the Mann-Whitney U test and χ² test when appropriate. We measured the prognostic performance of the variables, receiver operating characteristic curves was used to calculate sensitivity and specificity; cutoff values were used for positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value. Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 19.0 software for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). p value < 0.05 is considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results

A total of 122 patients with TBI were included. There were 34 male and 88 female, with a median age of 49.5 (17-89) years old. 13 (11.93%) patients were divided into Non-survival Group and the others were included in survival group. The baseline characteristics of Hb and Hct have no significant difference between two groups (P > 0.05). While their RDW and GCS scores have statistical difference (P < 0.05, Table 1). ROC curves of RDW levels were used to identify non-survivors on a statistically significant level (area under the curve of 0.805; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.703-0.906). As shown in Figure 1 the best cut-off level for RDW in TBI was 12.58%, (Figure 1). RDW in the diagnosis of TBI mortality had a sensitivity of 65.4% and a specificity of 95.7%. Their +LR, -LR, PPV and NPV of RDW for mortality in TBI could be seen Table 2.

Discussion

In this study, we observed a significant increase of RDW in the non-survival group compared with survival group for TBI patients. The cutoff of 12.85% in ROC curve showed that RDW is a specific but not a sensitive biomarker for diagnosis of TBI mortality (sensitivity 65.4%, speci-
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ficiency 95.7%). The NPV in RDW was as high as 82.6%. However, there were many inconsistent with other reports. Kazım Şenol et al. [9] reported that with a cutoff value of 14.8 for RDW, mortality could be correctly predicted, RDW in non-survivors with median value of 15.6 and interquartile range of 14 to 21, but we found the median value in non-survivors was 12.9 and interquartile range were 12.45 to 13.45 for RDW, the largest RDW value of non-survival group was 15.6 (The expected RDW values in our laboratory ranged between 11.4% and 14.3%). In contrast, Chizobam et al. demonstrated that mean RDW was significantly higher among persons with stroke compared to individuals without a stroke (13.7% (12.75-13.9), 13.2%, \( P < 0.001 \))[11]. To our knowledge, there was few studies investigated the value of RDW in patients with TBI. Most studies investigated the value of RDW in anemia [7], cardiovascular disease [8], SAP [9], acute appendicitis [14] and other disease [10, 11], none of these study involved in patients with TBI, it is hard to obtain valid reference values from these studies.

Considering the small sample size of the non-survival group, and no similar research data could be referred, there were several limitations in present study: as a single-center study, only 13 patients were enrolled in the non-survival group; there was no comparison with other assessment indicators for survival analysis, this also makes credibility of our study Limited. In the further study, we hope more large multi-center studies could be performed to resolve these limitations. In conclusion, RDW might be a strong specificity prognostic biomarker for TBI mortality. Clinicians should pay more attention to the RDW level during their assessments of patients with TBI.
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