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Slightly focused high-energy shockwave therapy: a potential adjuvant treatment for osteoporotic fracture
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Abstract: Slightly focused high-energy shockwave (HESW) therapy is characterized by a wide focal area, a large therapy zone, easy positioning and less pain during treatment. The objective of this study was to perform for the first time an in vivo test of the slightly focused HESWs for osteoporotic fractures. Bilateral proximal tibial osteotomies were made in 30 ovariectomized (OVX) Sprague-Dawley rats and secured with internal fixation. The osteotomy site in the left tibia was subsequently treated with slightly focused HESWs with the energy flux density of 0.26 mj/mm\textsuperscript{2}, shock repetition frequency of 1 Hz and 2000 shocks (OVX + HESW group). The contralateral right tibia was not treated and served as the control (OVX group). Roentgenographic examination 2, 4, 6, and 8 weeks after osteotomy showed that HESW treatment accelerated tibia fracture healing in osteoporotic rats. Histological examination 2, 4, and 8 weeks after HESW treatment showed a greater inflammatory reaction in the OVX + HESW group, with more mature collagen and trabeculae than in the OVX group. Micro computer tomography (Micro-CT) scanning after 4 and 8 weeks showed that bone volume (BV), bone volume/tissue volume (BV/TV), mean trabecular thickness (Tb.Th), and mean trabecular number (Tb.N) were about 45.0\% and 33.1\%, 18.4\% and 20.1\%, 38.2\% and 20.9\%, 26.7\% and 28.4\%, respectively, higher in the treatment group than in the control group (P < 0.05); and the mean trabecular separation (Tb.Sp) was about 16.7\% and 27.3\% lower in the treatment group (P < 0.05). Four and eight weeks after HESW treatment, the maximum compressive callus endurance was about 72.3\% and 25.5\%, respectively, higher in the treatment group than in the control group (P < 0.05). These results show that slightly focused HESW therapy has a beneficial effect on osteoporotic tibial fracture healing. Slightly focused HESWs could increase callus endurance, induce bone formation, and improve trabecular bone microarchitecture and biomechanical properties.
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Introduction

Osteoporosis is defined as a systemic disease characterized by the loss of bone mass and deterioration of bone microarchitecture with consequent increases in bone fragility and susceptibility to fracture [1]. Postmenopausal osteoporosis is frequently caused by the combination of low bone mass and menopausal bone loss [1-3]. Age is an additional major risk factor for osteoporosis [2, 3]. As a health-care problem, osteoporosis currently accounts for a large amount of both public and private health spending, and its prevalence is expected to escalate rapidly in the 21st century, with the estimated cost for treating hip fractures alone reaching 131 billion United States Dollar (USD) worldwide by 2050 [4-6].

Fracture is the ultimate and most catastrophic consequence of osteoporosis. The relationship between bone fracture healing and osteoporosis is complex [7, 8]. Although much attention has been paid to fracture prevention and new therapies aimed at conserving bone mass, little emphasis has been given to the study of fracture healing in osteoporotic bone [9]. In patients with osteoporosis, fracture healing is delayed, and the recovery period is longer [7-9]. Moreover, after fracture healing, osteoporosis is ultimately aggravated, and the risk of refracture is significantly greater than in healthy bone. When
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treating an osteoporotic fracture with open reduction and internal fixation, surgeons should be aware that this treatment may result in a reduction in bone mass and that refracture may occur.

In the past, long-term medications were needed to treat osteoporotic patients in order to avoid refracture, meaning the medical treatment period was long with high costs and thus poor compliance in elderly patients [10, 11]. Recently, High-energy shockwave (HESW) therapy has been identified as an effective noninvasive therapy for stimulating bone healing in selected patients with musculoskeletal diseases such as nonunion, avascular necrosis of the femoral head and tennis elbow [12-16]. HESWs are high-energy single sonic pulses generated underwater by high-voltage explosion and vaporization, which propagate in a wavelike manner in water-like soft tissues with minimal tissue absorption and no thermal effect [17]. HESWs are characterized by a high peak pressure (100 MPa) with an energy flux density in the range of 0.003-0.890 mJ/mm² [18]. When the pressure waves meet an interface of different impedance in their flow, the energy will be released to generate shear forces and cavitation, which could cause microcracks in bone tissue as well as subperiosteal hemorrhage, micro-scale damage of bone trabeculae, and minor hemorrhaging within the medullary cavity [19, 20], thereby inducing multi-biological effects such as the stimulating callus growth, inducing vascular regeneration [21], promoting bone formation, and relieving pain [22].

The effect of shock waves on bone healing has been a matter of debate among researchers [23-27]. Thus, although some authors postulated that shockwave treatment might delay bone fracture healing [23], others showed that HESWs could promote osteogenesis, improve bone structure and quality, and increase bone mineral density (BMD) [14, 24-29]. HESW therapy is increasingly used as an adjuvant treatment for fresh fractures [30, 31].

However, there is no agreement regarding the mechanism of HESW action on the healing of osteoporotic fractures. It remains unclear whether HESWs could induce osteoblasts or restrain osteoclasts and whether they could improve bone healing and biomechanical properties in the osteoporotic fracture healing process. A new generation of slightly focused HESW therapy has wider focal area, larger therapy zone, easier positioning and less pain during treatment, which is more suitable for application in orthopedics [11]. There are not yet sufficient data to allow a direct comparison of focused and slightly focused HESW therapies for a particular clinical application [32], in other words, no evidence in terms of outcome clearly favors one type of HESW over the other. However, application of the focused one to select locations may be prevented because of the traditionally larger heads of devices [32]; and piezoelectric-, electromagnetic- and electrohydraulic-generated shock waves can be administered only by trained physicians [32]. The aim of this experimental study was to perform for the first time an in vivo test of the slightly focused HESWs for osteoporotic fractures and to investigate whether slightly focused HESWs could induce new bone formation and improve both bone microarchitecture and mechanical properties in a rat model of osteoporotic fracture.

Materials and methods

Animals

For this experimental animal study, 42 female Sprague-Dawley (S-D), clean-level rats, aged 3 months, with an average weight (± standard deviation [SD]) of 200 ± 2.5 g (Xi Puer-Bi kai, Shanghai, China) were used. This research was approved by the animal welfare ethics committee of the 6th People’s Hospital affiliated with Shanghai Jiaotong University (permission number: SCXK, Shanghai 2008-0016).

Experiment design

Induction of osteoporosis: Ovariectomized (OVX) rats have been widely used as a model for postmenopausal osteoporosis and validated as a clinically relevant model of human postmenopausal bone loss [33, 34]. The S-D rats were divided into a sham-operated group (n = 6) and an operated group (n = 36). The rats of the operated group underwent open bilateral oophorectomy and ligation of the oviduct and comites. In contrast, for rats in the sham-operated group, the ovaries were anatomically found and exposed, and then the surgical wound was closed. Postoperatively, all 42 rats were housed in a cage with 12-h light/dark conditions at 21°C and 60% humidity.

After conventional breeding for 3 months, 6 randomly selected rats from the operated
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**Table 1.** Comparison of the absolute values of BMD (g/cm²) measured at three different locations (Proximal tibia, L₅, and Femoral neck)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group\Location</th>
<th>Proximal tibia</th>
<th>L₅</th>
<th>Femoral neck</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sham-operated group (n = 6)</td>
<td>0.928 ± 0.072</td>
<td>0.271 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.452 ± 0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operated group (n = 6)</td>
<td>0.808 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.236 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.356 ± 0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T value</td>
<td>-2.550</td>
<td>-10.977</td>
<td>-8.703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMD values were expressed as mean (g/cm²) ± SD, standard deviation. The P values were obtained using Student’s t-test. P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Figure 1. The three-point bending test on the tibia. The bearing span was 20 mm. The middle of the tibial osteotomy was set as the loading point with a loading speed of 1 mm/min. During the loading process, the loading direction was consistent and the specimens were kept wet.

In group and the sham-operated group were sacrificed. Whole body was scanned and BMDs were measured at the L₅ vertebrae, femoral neck, and proximal tibiae using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA, owning rat BMD measurement software, Hologic Delphi, America). The exposure conditions were the energy spectrum of 38/70 keV, high voltage stability of ± 0.05% and precision of 1%. Osteoporosis in the operated rats was defined as a BMD (L₅ and/or femoral neck and/or proximal tibiae) more than 2.5 SD below the mean BMD acquired from the sham-operated group [8]. BMDs at L₅ and femoral neck in operated group were more than 2.5 SD below the mean BMD in the sham-operated group (Table 1, P < 0.001); and BMD at proximal tibiae in the operated group was 12.9% lower than that in the sham-operated group (Table 1, P < 0.05).

**Tibia fracture creation and internal fixation:** Following induction of osteoporosis in the remaining operated rats, a bilateral proximal incomplete (1/3 of cross-sectional area) tibial osteotomy was created using an oscillating saw and subsequently stabilized with intramedullary pins [35-37]. The accuracy of proximal tibial osteotomy was documented radiographically. Then the established experimental model of osteoporotic fracture was applied in further experiments.

**Application of slightly focused HESWs:** One week after internal fixation, the middle part of the osteotomy site on the left tibia was marked with methyl violet and treated once with HESWs using Orthospec™ (Medispec, Yehud, Israel). The focal diameter and length were 25 mm and 95 mm, respectively. The exposure conditions were the energy flux density of 0.26 mj/mm², shock repetition frequency of 1 Hz, and 2000 shocks [35-37].

**Radiographic evaluation of callus formation:** X-ray images of both tibiae in the S-D rats were obtained 2, 4, 6, and 8 weeks after osteotomy to confirm adequate callus formation and fracture healing.
Callus histomorphometry: Six randomly selected S-D rats were killed at 2, 4, and 8 weeks after HESW treatment. One-centimeter longitudinal callus tissues were taken from the middle part of the tibial osteotomy sites. The tissues were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde solution immediately after rinsed with Phosphate Buffered Saline. Subsequently, the tissues were decalcified, dehydrated, allowed to become transparent, longitudinally paraffin embedded, cut into 7-µm serial sections using a hard tissue slicing machine (LEICA SP1600 and SW2500, Wetzlar, Germany), and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). The slices were examined under light microscopy (LEICA, DM4000B).

Micro-CT measurement: Six S-D rats were randomly sacrificed 4 and 8 weeks after HESW treatment. Muscles and soft tissue were removed, while the periosteum was kept intact to the extent possible. Kirschner pins were removed, and a 2-cm long bone segment, including the fracture callus, was selected subjected to micro-CT (Skyscan1076, Belgium). The acquisition settings were the X-ray source voltage of 80 kV and current of 450 μA. The rotation step and angular step (deg) was 0.6°, with a complete rotation over 360°; the image pixel size was 18 μm. Parameters such as tissue volume (TV), bone volume (BV), bone volume/tissue volume (BV/TV), bone surface (BS), bone surface/bone volume (BS/BV), bone surface/tissue volume (BS/TV), trabecular thickness (Tb.Th), trabecular number (Tb.N) and trabecular separation (Tb.Sp) were measured respectively.

Biomechanical testing: In the three-point bending test, the loading bar was placed at the fracture site to test the specific part of the bone [38, 39]. The tibial specimens were removed from deep freezing and thawed under normal temperature. The specimens were subsequently placed in a Zwick/Roell testing machine (BZ2.5/TSIS, Germany) for a three-point bending test. The bearing span was 20 mm. The middle of the tibial osteotomy site was set as the loading point with a loading speed of 1 mm/min (Figure 1). During the loading process, the loading direction was consistent and the specimens were kept wet. The real-time test process
was monitored by computer, which could automatically draw every measured value of samples and record the load-displacement curve and maximum load.

**Statistical analysis**

All studied parameters were tested normatively. Descriptive statistics included means and
SDs for continuous variables, and frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. Means of parametric values were compared between groups using t-tests and of non-parametric values with chi-squared tests. P-values smaller than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Radiographic evaluation of callus formation

Two weeks after internal fixation, tibial callus growth was beginning in both groups (Figure 2A2, 2B2). At 4 weeks, the fracture gap began to decrease and the callus volume was significantly reduced (Figure 2A3, 2B3). Callus dimensions in the treatment group were larger than in the control group at 2 and 4 weeks after surgery. At 6 weeks, most rats of the treatment group had almost achieved osseous healing, whereas partial fracture lines were still seen in the control group (Figure 2A4, 2B4). At 8 weeks, osseous healing had occurred in the rat tibiae of both groups, whereas the repair of fracture and the reconstruction of bone at the tibial osteotomy site in the treatment group were faster (Figure 2A5, 2B5).

Callus histomorphometry

Histological examination showed that the fibrous callus in both groups was gradually replaced by cartilaginous callus 2 weeks after HESW therapy, and there was a small amount of lamellar bone trabeculae. Compared with the control group, in the treatment group more superficial trabeculae, collagen formation, and aligned osteoblasts were observed (Figure 3A1, 3B1). At 4 weeks, the peripheral part of the cartilaginous callus was continuously replaced by primitive trabeculae (woven bone). The chondrocytes within the original bone trabeculae had degenerated or transformed to island soft cartilage, which was less in the control group. In the treatment group, more mature trabeculae and osteoid tissue were observed within the retrieved specimen than in the control group in which the trabeculae were fewer in number, with slow cartilage ossification (Figure 3A2, 3B2). Uneven thickness and disorganized trabecular bone were inconsistent with the stress direction. At the periphery of trabeculae, the absolute numbers of osteoblasts and osteocytes on the control side were less than those in the treatment group. At 8 weeks, the process of bone remodeling had been completed. More cortical bone had been generated in the treatment group, whereas on the control side, the trabeculae were still in the reconstruction phase (Figure 3A3, 3B3).

Micro-CT measurement

At 4 and 8 weeks after HESW treatment, bone volume (BV), bone volume/tissue volume (BV/TV), average trabecular thickness (Tb.Th), and average number of trabeculae (Tb.N) in the left (treated) tibiae specimens were about 45.0% and 33.1%, 18.4% and 20.1%, 38.2% and 20.9%, 26.7% and 28.4%, respectively, higher than those in the control group. The parameters of the bone microarchitecture of rat tibia specimens are shown in Table 2.

| Table 2. The parameters of the bone microarchitecture of rat tibia specimens (mean ± SD) |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                                 | 4 weeks (n = 6)  | 8 weeks (n = 6)  | T value          | P value          |
| TV (mm³)                        | OVX + HESW       | OVX              | OVX + HESW       | OVX              |
|                                 | 39.34 ± 3.24     | 31.15 ± 2.67     | -4.78            | < 0.001          |
|                                 | 29.10 ± 2.50     | 25.23 ± 1.98     | -2.97            | < 0.05           |
| BV (mm³)                        | OVX + HESW       | OVX              | OVX + HESW       | OVX              |
|                                 | 20.72 ± 4.13     | 14.29 ± 3.46     | -2.92            | < 0.05           |
|                                 | 16.20 ± 3.20     | 12.17 ± 2.37     | -2.48            | < 0.05           |
| BV/TV (%)                       | OVX + HESW       | OVX              | OVX + HESW       | OVX              |
|                                 | 51.70 ± 4.62     | 43.68 ± 5.10     | -2.85            | < 0.05           |
|                                 | 54.35 ± 3.14     | 45.24 ± 3.75     | -4.56            | < 0.005          |
| BS (mm³)                        | OVX + HESW       | OVX              | OVX + HESW       | OVX              |
|                                 | 189.87 ± 32.83   | 142.32 ± 29.46   | -2.64            | < 0.05           |
|                                 | 157.38 ± 24.50   | 110.72 ± 18.76   | -3.71            | < 0.005          |
| BS/BV (1/mm)                    | OVX + HESW       | OVX              | OVX + HESW       | OVX              |
|                                 | 9.06 ± 0.15      | 8.67 ± 0.08      | -5.62            | < 0.001          |
|                                 | 9.53 ± 0.12      | 8.74 ± 0.17      | -9.30            | < 0.001          |
| BS/TV (1/mm)                    | OVX + HESW       | OVX              | OVX + HESW       | OVX              |
|                                 | 4.83 ± 0.18      | 4.26 ± 0.12      | -6.45            | < 0.001          |
|                                 | 5.16 ± 0.23      | 4.38 ± 0.16      | -6.82            | < 0.001          |
| Tb.Th (mm)                      | OVX + HESW       | OVX              | OVX + HESW       | OVX              |
|                                 | 0.47 ± 0.05      | 0.34 ± 0.06      | -4.08            | < 0.005          |
|                                 | 0.52 ± 0.06      | 0.43 ± 0.04      | -2.82            | < 0.05           |
| Tb.N (N/mm)                     | OVX + HESW       | OVX              | OVX + HESW       | OVX              |
|                                 | 1.42 ± 0.18      | 1.12 ± 0.21      | -2.66            | < 0.05           |
|                                 | 1.31 ± 0.17      | 1.02 ± 0.23      | -2.48            | < 0.05           |
| Tb.Sp (mm)                      | OVX + HESW       | OVX              | OVX + HESW       | OVX              |
|                                 | 0.35 ± 0.04      | 0.42 ± 0.05      | 6.50             | < 0.001          |
|                                 | 0.32 ± 0.05      | 0.44 ± 0.03      | 5.04             | < 0.001          |

Values of all parameters were expressed as mean ± SD, standard deviation. TV, tissue volume; BV, bone volume; BV/TV, bone volume/tissue volume; BS, bone surface; BS/BV, bone surface/bone volume; BS/TV, bone surface/tissue volume; Tb.Th, trabecular thickness; Tb.N, trabecular number; Tb.Sp, trabecular separation. The P values were obtained using Student’s t-test. P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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Figure 4. Micro-CT images of rat tibia in vitro in the OVX + HESW (a1, a2, A1, A2) and OVX (b1, b2, B1, B2) groups at 4 and 8 weeks after slightly focused HESW treatment. At 4 weeks, the callus quantity, thickness and continuity of the treatment group were significantly superior to those of the control group in images from Micro CT scanning along the transverse axis. The trabecula maturity and trabecular density of bone in the treatment group was better than that in the control group in images from Micro CT scanning along the longitudinal axis. At 8 weeks, fracture healing and bone reconstruction in the treatment group were better than in the control group.

Figure 5. Three-dimensional reconstruction image of fractures at 8 weeks after slightly focused HESW treatment. A: In the treatment group (OVX + HESW), bone trabecular thickness was greater and arrangement was closer. B: In the control group (OVX), trabecular bone thickness was less and the space within was wider.

And compared to that in the control group, the mean trabecular separation (Tb.Sp) in the treatment group was 16.7% and 27.3%, respectively, lower (Table 2, P < 0.05). Micro-CT scanning and three-dimensional reconstruction showed that callus growth and bone microstructure were superior in the treatment group (Figures 4, 5).

Biomechanical properties

All healed tibias osteotomed in both groups were broken at the fracture site. All tibias displayed a typical load-displacement curve with an initial non-linear response followed by an upward-sloping linear component and then a failure response at the point of breakage (Figure 6). Compared with the control group, the maximum load endurance of the tibia was higher in the treatment group 4 and 8 weeks after HESW
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After fixation of osteoporotic fractures, additional treatment may be also necessary. In the past, long-term medications were needed to avoid aggravated osteoporosis and refracture, which meant long medical treatment period, high costs and thus poor compliance in elderly patients [10, 11]. Recently, HESW therapy has been increasingly used as an adjuvant treatment for musculoskeletal diseases such as fresh fracture, non-union, avascular necrosis of the femoral head and tennis elbow [12-16, 30, 31], attributing to its multi-biological effects such as the stimulating callus growth, inducing vascular regeneration [21], promoting bone formation, and relieving pain [22]. HESWs have also been shown to stimulate large-scale inflammation and blood vessel reactions; increase the local blood supply; induce vascularization; enhance intramembranous ossification and endochondral bone formation; and promote fracture healing [41, 42]. Slightly focused HESWs are characterized by wider focal area, larger therapy zone, easier positioning and less pain during treatment, which are more suitable for application in orthopedics [11]. In the present in vivo study, we aimed to investigate whether slightly focused HESWs had positive effects on healing process in a rat model of osteoporotic fracture.

Osteoporosis in humans is currently diagnosed with DEXA, which is considered as the gold standard for measuring BMD [43, 44]. In this study, the BMD at the proximal tibia in the operated group was 12.9% less than that in the sham-operated group, revealing the influence of oophorectomy on bone mass reduction and osteoporosis induction in the early phase in a rat (Table 1). Three months after oophorectomy, the measured BMD changes in the operated rats confirmed osteoporosis (BMD < -2.5 SD; Table 1). So, this osteoporosis model was considered suitable in this in vivo study [8]. The experimental model of osteoporotic fracture in our study was established following a bilateral treatment (Table 3). At the osteotomy site, in the treatment group, the ultimate load to failure was increased by 72.3% and 25.5% at 4 and 8 weeks, respectively, after HESW treatment compared to that of the non-treated side.

Discussion

In the past decade, osteoporosis has become a major public health concern. It is usually a clinically silent disease and, fairly often, an osteoporotic fracture comes up as its first manifestation. The fracture incidence in patients with osteoporosis is high [8, 10, 11]. With the increasing longevity and the growing size of the aging population, by 2050, more than 6 million hip fractures among elderly people will occur in the world [40].

Table 3. The maximum load of healing tibia in rats (unit: N)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>4 weeks (n = 6)</th>
<th>8 weeks (n = 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OVX</td>
<td>27.48 ± 3.84</td>
<td>68.49 ± 6.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVX + HESW</td>
<td>47.34 ± 8.94</td>
<td>85.96 ± 4.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The maximum load values were expressed as mean (N) ± SD, standard deviation. The P values were obtained using Student’s t-test. P value of < 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance.

After fixation of osteoporotic fractures, additional treatment may be also necessary. In the past, long-term medications were needed to avoid aggravated osteoporosis and refracture, which meant long medical treatment period, high costs and thus poor compliance in elderly patients [10, 11]. Recently, HESW therapy has been increasingly used as an adjuvant treatment for musculoskeletal diseases such as fresh fracture, non-union, avascular necrosis of the femoral head and tennis elbow [12-16, 30, 31], attributing to its multi-biological effects such as the stimulating callus growth, inducing vascular regeneration [21], promoting bone formation, and relieving pain [22]. HESWs have also been shown to stimulate large-scale inflammation and blood vessel reactions; increase the local blood supply; induce vascularization; enhance intramembranous ossification and endochondral bone formation; and promote fracture healing [41, 42]. Slightly focused HESWs are characterized by wider focal area, larger therapy zone, easier positioning and less pain during treatment, which are more suitable for application in orthopedics [11]. In the present in vivo study, we aimed to investigate whether slightly focused HESWs had positive effects on healing process in a rat model of osteoporotic fracture.

Osteoporosis in humans is currently diagnosed with DEXA, which is considered as the gold standard for measuring BMD [43, 44]. In this study, the BMD at the proximal tibia in the operated group was 12.9% less than that in the sham-operated group, revealing the influence of oophorectomy on bone mass reduction and osteoporosis induction in the early phase in a rat (Table 1). Three months after oophorectomy, the measured BMD changes in the operated rats confirmed osteoporosis (BMD < -2.5 SD; Table 1). So, this osteoporosis model was considered suitable in this in vivo study [8]. The experimental model of osteoporotic fracture in our study was established following a bilateral
proximal incomplete tibial osteotomy using an oscillating saw and subsequently stabilized with intramedullary pins, which was characterized by simple operation, minor surgical wound and low infection and mortality [35-37]. In the present study, we aimed to investigate the effects of slightly focused HESWs on fresh osteoporotic fractures. Theoretically, the OVX + HESW group should be treated by HESWs as soon as the internal fixation was finished. Because of the surgical wound, however, more infection would occur if the rats were treated before the wound healing. So, one week after internal fixation might be the appropriate moment to receive HESW therapy [35, 36].

In this study, after the internal fixation of an osteoporotic fracture, the radiological and histomorphometric results showed an increase in bridging callus formation during the early phase of fracture healing in the OVX + HESW group compared to the OVX group (Figures 2, 3). After fracture healing in both groups, the maximum compressive load of the left tibia after HESW treatment was about 25.5% higher than that of the right non-treated tibia, indicating that the biomechanical properties of the tibias in the treatment group were superior to those in the control group (Table 3). The results of radiographic evaluation, callus histomorphometry, and three-point bending test in the current study support the findings of Wang et al. [16, 31] who showed that HESW therapy produces significantly greater bone mass (BMD, etc.), callus size, ash and calcium content, and bone strength compared to controls in femoral fractures in rabbits [16, 31]. The results of the present study also indicated that the changes in biomechanical performance of the healed bone were actually reflected by the radiological and histomorphological changes detected in the bone after HESW treatment.

Bone mass (e.g. BMD) has been reported as a key biomechanical property for the assessment of healing in osteoporotic fractures [8, 16]. Bone mass, however, is not the only determinant factor for the biomechanical environment, because improvements in the bone microarchitecture, such as the number and spatial arrangement of bone trabeculae, are also important. Bone microarchitecture is also positively affected by HESW therapy for osteoporosis [11]. When tibial fractures occur in rats with osteoporosis, according to the micro-CT results obtained in this study, bone volume (BV), bone volume/tissue volume (BV/TV), average trabecular thickness (Tb.Th), and average number of trabeculae (Tb.N) in the left tibia at 4 and 8 weeks after HESW therapy were about 45.0% and 33.1%, 18.4% and 20.1%, 38.2% and 20.9%, 26.7% and 28.4%, respectively, higher than those in the control group, and the mean trabecular separation (Tb.Sp) was about 16.7% and 27.3%, respectively, lower in the treatment group (Table 2). Besides, callus growth and bone microstructure were also showed superior in the treatment group (Figures 4, 5). In other words, the superior mechanical properties of the treated tibias in osteoporotic rats in the present study reflected increased bone quality after HESW therapy. Therefore, we considered that slightly focused HESWs had a beneficial effect on the restoration and reconstruction of bone microstructure in osteoporotic fractures.

However, the present study has several limitations. First, we set the sham-operated group only to confirm osteoporosis, but not to compare it with OVX + HESW and OVX groups in other test items. Second, because there is no Haversian system in the rat skeleton, there is no way to obtain additional relevant measures such as the porosity of the cortical bone because osteoporosis may be mainly caused by changes in the cortical bone [45]. Third, we did not study the different effects of different energy density and frequency of HESWs on osteoporotic fracture healing. Fourth, we did not compare the effects of HESWs on different sites and types of osteoporotic fractures. Fifth, the study only observed the healing process up to 8 weeks after the HESW treatment, and long follow up may be needed in future studies. Sixth, the study did not investigate the different effects of slightly focused HESWs on osteoporotic fractures of rat tibias in contrast to the focused one.

In conclusion, slightly focused HESW therapy has beneficial effects on osteoporotic fracture healing in rats by promoting callus formation, accelerating the reconstruction of trabecular bone, ameliorating the spatial structure of trabecular bone, and improving the biomechanical properties of healed bone. Besides, it is characterized by wider focal area, larger therapy
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zone, easier positioning and less pain during treatment, which are more suitable for application in orthopedics. Therefore, slightly focused HESW therapy is a potential adjuvant treatment for osteoporotic fracture. In the future study, clinical experiments involving a large number of subjects are needed to determine the appropriate treatment parameters for humans, which would be more complicated than in rats.
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