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An overview of neuro-oncology research and practice in Iran, three years with the NOSC initiative
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Abstract: Research and practice of neuro-oncology compiles clinical neuroscience expertise from neurosurgery, radiation oncology, neuroradiology, medical oncology, neuropathology and related disciplines to optimize planning and therapy in central nervous system malignancies. Such an interdisciplinary context prompted health-care providers from all related disciplines to establish the Neuro-Oncology Scientific Club (NOSC) in Iran and let it flourish since 3 years ago. With the advent of advanced technologies and through continued share of experience, NOSC members have tried to provide more integrated diagnoses and therapeutic care to brain tumor patients across the country. NOSC activities revolve around some key tenets including dissemination of education and updates, facilitation of institutional collaborations; data registry and patients' awareness. By virtue of recent insights on molecular characterization of brain tumors such as codeletion of chromosomes 1p and 19q in anaplastic gliomas and O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) promoter methylation in glioblastoma, a range of translational research is being followed within NOSC. The most recent NOSC meeting which was held in Tehran, recapitulated main advances and dealt with the current debates on functional neurosurgery, biological markers and neuroimaging, risk prediction models in high grade gliomas and clinical issues in pediatric neuro-oncology. This article gives an overview of current hotspots in neuro-oncology research and practice which are pursued within NOSC.
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Introduction
As an emerging subspecialty, neuro-oncology has witnessed exciting advances over the past years. Diagnosis and treatment of central nervous system malignancies as well as neurologic complications of systemic cancers are main constituents of the practice of neuro-oncology. To provide individualized and optimal care, an interdisciplinary work becomes indicated in many instances [1-3].

Due to the aggressive nature and lethality, high grade gliomas (HGGs) and glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) in particular, have received more focus for research in neuro-oncology [4]. Until a decade ago, and before the advent of temozolomide and bevacizumab, medical therapy was not able to provide notable survival benefits in GBM [5, 6].

Today, with the availability of such options and the cutting-edge technological advances, advanced therapies are being field tested with often encouraging outcomes, and research is on the verge to uncover the hidden sides of brain tumors' pathogenesis [4, 7, 8]. Many researchers and clinical professionals in the field, may never find a more exciting time to study and invest in neuro-oncology than today.
Three years with the Neuro-Oncology Scientific Club (NOSC)

Neuro-oncology is perhaps one of the most spectacular fields where cancer crosses disciplines. The benefits of interdisciplinary approach in such clinical conditions has been well-established both for the clinicians and the patients [1, 2]. The proven advantages of interdisciplinary care in brain tumor has prompted the related field professionals to form the Neuro-Oncology Scientific Club (NOSC) to foster interdisciplinary care and research in brain tumors in Iran. NOSC members has advocated the working team concept in neuro-oncology care across the country since 2011.

We at NOSC believe that education, frequent field updates and shared initiatives are the mainstay to reach our goals. NOSC has attempted the above through holding scientific meetings, neuro-oncology update sessions and round table discussions in different provinces. This scientific club has strived to: 1) disseminate neuro-oncology updates to allied health care providers, 2) facilitate institutional and nationwide collaborations in neuro-oncology research and practice and 3) provide awareness and education to brain tumor patients as well as general public. In addition, NOSC has succeeded to establish a brain tumor collaborative registry (BTCR) and to design and implement multicenteric neuro-oncology investigations in Iran [9]. Along these lines, NOSC has published several scientific reports, original research findings and consensus statements since establishments [3, 10-14]. With over 200 members from all allied disciplines, NOSC’s overall strategies and plans are governed by its steering board and provincial founding panels. NOSC continues to receive endorsement from the related national scientific societies, and believes that such collaboration will allow optimizing the brain tumor care. Over the past 3 years, the emerging concepts which dominated debates in neuro-oncology, encouraged us to design and run clinical investigations within NOSC. We now know that alkylating agent chemotherapy may prolong survival when added to radiotherapy for patients with anaplastic oligodendrogial tumours with 1p19q codeletion, and the progression-free survival (PFS) in patients with newly diagnosed glioblastroma can be improved with some novel approaches [15, 16].

In August 2014, the NOSC faculty and members attended quite an interactive meeting themed “interdisciplinary efforts for better outcome in newly diagnosed malignant gliomas”, in Tehran. Participants from various disciplines including radiation oncology, neurosurgery, radiology, hematology-oncology, pediatric hematology-oncology, neurology, medical physics and other related fields actively took part in this NOSC event. Discussions during this meeting were focused on the role of functional surgery in HGG patients’ outcome, pseudoprogression vs. pseudoresponse upon evaluating radiologic outcomes following treatments, standard of care in HGG and the emerging trends as well as response prediction models in adult and pediatric brain tumor patients. Here we present an outline of the communicated insights during this interactive scientific forum.

Functional surgery and its predictive role in clinical outcome following maximal safe resection of high grade gliomas

With regard to surgical removal of the central nervous system tumors, recent studies have confirmed that the maximal safe cytoreduction without causing neurological damages leaves a remarkable prognostic impact on patients’ survival and treatment outcome [17]. According to literature, the extent of maximal safe resection of the tumor would enable optimization of adjuvant treatment and consequently enhances patients’ quality of life [18, 19].

The challenge lies where in many high-grade glioma cases, tumor cells infiltrate into eloquent brain areas which are involved in motor and language functions [18]. Preoperative brain mapping for surgical planning as well as functional intraoperative mapping may assist us to carefully locate these functions in the brain. This would allow surgeons to minimize the risk of damaging such eloquent brain regions while resecting the tumor and nearby infiltrated areas [20]. The mapping process, especially in case of language assessment, requires the patient to remain awake during the procedure. Some selected centers in Iran have pursued establishing the functional neurosurgery setup allowing awake craniotomy and intraoperative brain mapping using functional magnetic resonance imaging, tractography, comprehensive neuropsychological batteries, electrocorticography and somatosensory evoked potentials [21].
This allows careful surveillance of language, motor and sensory functions before, during and after the operation, minimizing the tumor surgery sequellae [21].

Figure 1. Two cases with similarly looking gadolinium-enhanced lesions in right temporal lobe in brain MRI who turned out to be of totally different diagnoses upon stereotactic needle biopsy, (A) a 24 Y/o male turned out to be a case of GBM, and (B) a 19 y/o female who was diagnosed with multiple sclerosis. Image from NOSC case files, 2014.

Figure 2. Pseudoprogression in a 54-year-old woman with GBM. Despite an increased lesion size in follow-up imaging 8 months after chemoradiation, biopsy revealed a mixed tissue with treatment-related changes rather than tumor progression. Image from NOSC case files, 2013.
During this meeting, some state-of-the-art surgical procedures which are used in management of malignant glioma in functional neurosurgery setting were discussed.

With respect to preoperative confirmation of diagnosis, the neuro-oncology team depends on stereotactic biopsy in many instances as radiologic findings are often inconclusive. Depending on the type of pathology being tested, stereotactic biopsy’s success rate to arrive at a definitive diagnosis can be quite high [22]. In some cases, the stereotactic biopsy has helped to avoid surgery since the primary diagnosis of GBM was changed into multiple sclerosis (MS). Figure 1 demonstrate a typical case which turned to be diagnosed as MS rather than glioma. In general, the diagnostic success rate is highest for tumor cases [22]. Stereotactic biopsy plays a crucial role in diagnosis and influence the treatment of brain tumors especially in recurrence cases [23]. It seems the only cases in whom the diagnosis does not depend on the biopsy are patients with diffuse pontine glioma [24].

New imaging biomarkers for early assessment of brain tumor response to chemoradiotherapy; pseudoprogression vs. pseudoresponse

The most widely used measure to assess the tumor response to treatment is based upon evaluating the enhancing areas on conventional MRI known as the Mc Donald criteria [25]. However, non-tumoral increased enhancement and/or false decreases in enhancement, which are referred to as pseudoprogression and pseudoresponse respectively, may confuse the outcome evaluation [26]. Figure 2 and 3 demonstrate examples of pseudoprogression and pseudoresponse following therapy, respectively.

Where several imaging techniques are available for the detection of brain tumors’ outcome, the conventional brain MRI should not be overvalued due to its low negative predictive values [26]. Some advanced imaging technologies include magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS), single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) or tractography and arterial spin labeling (ASL) [27]. One of the diagnostic challenges in neuroradiology is differentiating tumor progression from pseudoprogression. Once a brain mass is found to enhance, it does not necessarily mean recurrence of the brain tumor since necrosis would do the same [28]. In such cases, MRS and DWI would assist us to differentiate pseudo-progression from true progression; however, these techniques subject to some limitations [29]. For instance, in MRS, the brain mass should neither be smaller than 1 cm, nor be positioned near the cortex, hemorrhagic and calcified; and the prepared voxel size should not be under 1×1×1 cm. To ensure the accuracy of DWI technique, if the mass is small, the experiment should be repeated at least 5 or 6 times in order to restrict the mass in the apparent diffusion capacity (ADC) map [30].

DWI is a potential biomarker for the initial evaluation of tumor treatment response, however
the findings maybe confounded by the surrounding edema and the radiation-induced necrosis. Some recent endeavors have proposed a diffusion abnormality index (DAI) which weighs the abnormal ADC map for edema and cellularity to predict the tumor response [31].

On the other hand, MRS has the potential to demonstrate post-radiotherapy neurochemical and structural changes in brain tissue prior to the development of symptoms or emergence of radiological changes in conventional MRI [32]. Altered brain metabolites such as decreased N-acetyl aspartate (NAA) or increased choline (Cho) may suggest tumor progression in a given voxel. Meanwhile, the extensively peaked lipids/lactate ratio suggests radiation necrosis. In many instances, MRS helps differentiating pseudo- vs. true disease [32]. DWI however, is mostly conclusive in differentiating pseudo-response from true response of the tumor following therapy [30, 31].

Gliarial brain tumors, response-prediction models and interdisciplinary diagnostic and therapeutic approaches

The primary treatment of gliarial brain tumors includes surgery, followed by chemoradiation and adjuvant chemotherapy using the standard of care, temozolomide (TMZ) [8]. With the use of intraoperative imaging techniques, surgical advances, biomarker assays and implementation of randomized trial-based protocols, malignant glioma patients have seen marginal increase in survival time over the recent years [1]. Various clinical and molecular variable including age, Karnofsky performance status (KPS), genetic and epigenetic status are shown to bear a significant predictive value with respect to the post-surgical outcome in glioma [33].

A mathematical predictive model as a prognostic tool in cancer treatment known as Recursive Partitioning Analysis or RPA has incorporated such variables and stratified patients into three classes in which class III, IV and V refer to good, intermediate and poor prognosis for outcome, respectively [34]. In this regards, when researchers decide to carry out a specific trial, the selected cases should be homogenous and the obtained data would become further valid when RPA is taken into account. Meanwhile, RPA can be considered a handy prognostic and predictive calculation even in daily practice of brain tumor care especially with HGGs [35, 36]. Based on the evidence, providing the standard of care to RPA class III and IV GBMs vs. class V patients is shown to result in more favorable outcome as compared to radiotherapy alone [8].

Gliarial brain tumors, chemoradiotherapy and beyond

GBM has always been linked with dismal prognosis and despite the standard of care advantages, survival rate at 2 and 5 year-follow up remains 27% and 11%, respectively [8]. The role of angiogenesis in the pathogenesis of the tumor and its progression has drawn much attention recently [37, 38]. Targeting vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) using bevacizumab (an anti-VEGF agent) has revealed marked pattern of change on MR imaging and such changes yielded an impact on clinical trials of new therapies [37]. Evolving evidence support the use of bevacizumab alone or in combination with TMZ or irinotecan in recurrent GBM setting and on individual patient care basis [39-41].

There are some cumulative evidence on differential approaches in the management of low-grade gliomas. As such, the choice of preferred regimen for the treatment of anaplastic oligodendrogloma (AOD) and anaplastic oligoastrocytoma (AOA) seems to depend on determinant molecular/genetic markers. While procarbazine, lomustine and vincristine regimen (PCV) is efficient in AOD patients who are 1p/19q co-deleted; non-co-deleted patients seem to benefit from TMZ. The investigation trying to prove this is however ongoing [42-44]. AODs account for almost 20% of adult brain tumors [44]. This tumor has generally been classified as WHO grade III and is recognized by its distinct histological appearance (i.e. ‘fried-egg’ for cell morphology and ‘chicken-wire’ for capillary network). Combined allelic loss of heterozygosity (LOH) in 1p/19q is seen in nearly half of patients. Moreover, there are other more recently uncovered prognostic mutations in IDH-1 (Isocitrate Dehydrogenese-1), CIC (homolog of Drosophila gene capicua) and FUBP-1 (Far Upstream Element Binding Protein-1) which are found in some cases of AOD with 1p/19q loss. A series of retrospective studies and
molecular assessments has confirmed the impact of 1p/19q LOH prognostic factor in oligodendrogial tumors [45, 46].

The large RTOG 9402 (Radiation Therapy-Oncology Group) prospective trial has compared chemo-radiotherapy vs. radiotherapy (RT) alone, in AOD tumors. In fact, RTOG tried to investigate the efficacy and safety of RT vs. PCV then RT in AOD, while EORTC (European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer) trial assessed the same for RT vs. RT then PCV [47, 48]. In RTOG 9402; after stratifying the enrolled patients in terms of age < 50 vs. ≥ 50, KPS of 60-70 vs. ≥ 80, and the degree of anaplasia, they were randomized to either experimental (intensive PCV ×4 q6wks cycles, then RT) or the standard RT arms [47]. Only 54% of patients could complete the 4 cycles. The reasons for early stopping were hematological toxicity and tumor progression. PCV toxicity was seen in 64% patient with grade III, IV or V. Salvage chemotherapy turned to be more common with RT only arm. Initial data revealed that despite an improved PFS, early PCV could not positively influence the overall survival (OS). Moreover, PCV-related improved PFS was a benefit associated with acute toxicity and was only seen in 1p/19q co-deleted subset [47].

Patients with co-deleted tumors lived much longer than other patients. This beneficial effect was independent of initial treatment. The OS results indicated a median survival of 4.6 years for PCV+RT vs. 4.7 years for RT alone, showing no OS benefit with PCV. Similarly in 1p/19q non-co-deleted patients, the median survival of 2.6 and 2.7 years for PCV+RT vs. RT alone arms; respectively, indicated no significant difference. On the other hand, 1p/19q co-deleted patients showed an extended median survival of 14.7 compared to 7.3 years in PCV+RT vs. RT alone arms, respectively [47].

Based on this pivotal trial, the upfront combination of RT and PCV demonstrated an improved survival in AOD patients who were shown to be 1p/19q co-deleted. Such association was not seen in non-co-deleted patients, and the statistical endpoint has been achieved only after sufficient time and error to increase tumor tissue acquisition [47].

Given the above, the clinical implications for patient care may include: 1-RT alone is no longer adequate for patients with AOD patients who show 1p/19q co-deletion; 2- the data support chemotherapy + RT as the first line treatment strategy in AOD; and 3- the optimal treatment regimen (PCV vs. TMZ) as well as the preferred paradigm (i.e. chemotherapy then RT vs. RT then chemotherapy) need to be further established.

Pediatric Neuro-Oncology, our local experience

HGGs are observed in about 20-30% of all cancer diagnoses mostly in children younger than 10 years [49, 50]. It is reported that 5-year OS rate in children for GBM and anaplastic astrocytoma is about 5-15% and 20-40%, respectively [51]. The chromosome aberration is shown to be influential in tumor prognosis such as 5q, 6q, 9q and 12q for anaplastic astrocytoma and 1, 3 and 16 for GBM. On the other hand, total resection of the tumor is so effective in disease prognosis [52, 53]. Moreover, chemotherapy in pediatric gliomacan improve PFS rate vs. RT alone [51, 54]. This intervention however is not shown to yield a significant effect on the improvement of OS [54]. Based on the evidence, HGG in children remains with unfortunately poor prognosis and chemotherapy with TMZ has only provided trivial advantages [55]. Given the common cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) dissemination and quite high mortality rate in pediatric HGG, intense supportive care becomes warranted [55].

In pediatric low-grade gliomas, conformal radiotherapy under the stereotactic guide results to a notably less radiation-associated delayed toxicity. Meanwhile in some patients with HGGs, adjuvant or neo-adjuvant chemotherapy is used and resulted in improved survival. In patients with ependymomas, the extent of resection and the radiotherapy are considered as the most determinant prognostic factors [56]. With regard to the prognosis of primitive neuroectodermal tumors, some biological markers have been identified and are being applied to clinical practice. The emergence of a new standard treatment with reduced-dose craniospinal radiotherapy and platinum-based chemotherapy has introduced a new trend in treating localized medulloblastomas [56]. On the other hand, the future treatments of supratentorial primitive neuroectodermal tumors will largely aim at optimizing local control [56, 57].
Neuro-oncology in Iran

Considering the rarity of primary central nervous system malignancies in children, further progress can be reached through prospective clinical trials. Integrating the biological findings into clinical applications in an interdisciplinary research context within NOSC has been strongly encouraged.

Neuro-oncology research and practice in Iran and the NOSC's umbrella; concluding remarks

NOSC has endeavored to fill the gaps in interdisciplinary practice of neuro-oncology across Iran. The working team concept within NOSC is being further consolidated as we proceed. The brain tumor collaborative registry (BTCR) software is a validated tool provided to foster integrated research works while allowing a more organized patient care [9]. NOSC scientific meetings, update sessions and round table discussions will continue to serve as a platform for shared initiatives towards improving care to brain tumor.

NOSC members have concurred on three major plans for the future NOSC-related activities. The prospective plans and action points include: (I) constitution of tumor board meetings in Tehran, (II) designing a multi-center trial to investigate the efficacy and safety of 12 cycles adjuvant therapy with TMZ as compared to 6 cycles in GBM patients, and (III) taking due steps towards drafting local guidelines for the management of HGGs in Iran.
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