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Correlations between posterior longitudinal ligament status and size of bone fragment in thoracolumbar burst fractures
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Abstract: This study aim to determine the correlation between the size of bone fragment and injury of posterior longitudinal ligament (PLL). In this study retrospectively analyze medical chart of patients with thoracolumbar burst fractures from June 2010 to December 2012. Patients were divided into two groups (Intact group and Disrupted group) according to the result of MRI assessing status of PLL. All the fractures were classified according to the Arbeit Fuer Osteosynthese (AO) classification system. Neurological status was classified according to American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA). Mimics measured the height and width of bone fragment (HBF and WBF), transverse canal diameter (TCD) and calculate the height of posterior wall of the injury vertebrae, ratio of height of bone fragment occupying height of posterior wall of vertebrae body (RHBF) and ratio of width of bone fragment occupying transverse canal diameter (RWBF). The results indicated that 52 patients were included in the study. There are 31 patients with intact PLL and 21 patients with disrupted PLL. There was significant difference on the HBF (t = -3.646, P = 0.001), WBF (t = -3.615, P = 0.001), RHBF (t = -4.124, P = 0.000) and RWBF (t = -3.305, P = 0.002) between the intact group and injury group. There was a significant correlation between injury of PLL and ASIA grade (OR = 7.851, P = 0.005), and AO classification (OR = 6.401, P = 0.011), and RHBF (OR = 6.455, P = 0.011), and HBF (OR = 5.208, P = 0.022). In conclusion, the results of this study indicate that AO classification, ASIA grade, HBF and RHBF could act as the predictors of injury of PLL.
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Introduction

Ninety percent of all spinal fractures occur in the thoracolumbar region, and burst fractures contribute to approximately 10-20% of such injuries [1-4]. It is one of the most common causes for spinal cord injury, and the frequency of neurological deficits in all thoracolumbar burst fractures can reach up to 50-60% [4-6]. Spinal cord injury includes both primary and secondary injury mechanisms [2, 7]. Secondary injury because of compression of bone fragments lead to a series of pathophysiologic changes such as 1) vascular changes including reduction in blood flow, loss of auto-regulation, neurogenic shock, hemorrhage, loss of micro-circulation, vasospasm and thrombosis [7, 8]; 2) electrolyte shifts including increased intracellular calcium, increased extracellular potassium, and increased sodium permeability [9, 10]; 3) neurotransmitter accumulation such as serotonin or catecholamines [11] and extracellular glutamate [12], the latter producing excitotoxicity [13]; 4) arachidonic acid release, free radical production especially oxygen-free radicals [14], eicosanoid production, especially prostaglandins, and lipid per-oxidation [15, 16]; 5) endogenous opioids [17, 18]; 6) edema formation [19]; 7) inflammation; and 8) loss of energy metabolism, especially decreased adenosine triphosphate production [20]. So reposition of bone fragments is benefit to recovery of neurological function.

In the spinal surgery there are two ways including anterior and posterior approaches. Posterior surgery can be recommended in emergency neurodecompression and fixation of unstable thoracolumbar fractures because of the shorter operation time and smaller blood loss versus anterior surgery [21-25]. In the posterior surgery lordosation and distraction with the inter-
nal fixator lead to the restoration of height, kyphosis correction and in many cases to canal widening by the phenomenon of ligamentotaxis. Ligamentotaxis is primarily induced by increased tension on the PLL during lordosation and distraction. The rapid volume increase of the fractured vertebra during this procedure may contribute to the effect of ligamentotaxis by creating an area of under pressure, inducing suction on the dislocated bone fragments. But if the PLL was injury it is difficult to reposition the bone fragments by the posterior surgery. This study discriminated if multiple radio-graphical parameters correspond to injury of PLL.

Materials and methods

We retrospectively reviewed consecutive patients with a thoracolumbar (T\textsubscript{11}-L\textsubscript{2}) burst fracture from a single center. Inclusion criteria include consecutive patients with single vertebrae thoracolumbar burst fractures because of trauma. Exclusion criteria include pathological fractures, multiple vertebrae thoracolumbar burst fractures and osteoporosis. Patients were examined by multi-planar computed tomography (CT) scan and an MRI of the injured segment before surgery. All the patients underwent spinal surgery with the same posterior instrumentation.

Axial-plane central canal measurements

The width of bone fragment (WBF, Figure 1A) was defined as width of bone fragment at the vertebral pedicle level of CT image. Transverse canal diameter (TCD, Figure 1B) was defined as distance between the medial borders of the pedicles at the mid-pedicle level. The ratio of width of bone fragment occupying transverse canal diameter (RWBF) was calculated according to formula \( V_2 / (V_1 + V_3) / 2 \) [26]. \( V_1 \) indicates the TCD above the injury vertebra. \( V_2 \) indicates width of bone fragment. \( V_3 \) indicates TCD below the injured vertebra.

Sagittal-plane central canal measurements

The height of bone fragment (HBF, Figure 1C) was defined as height of bone fragment at the mid-sagittal plane of the CT image. Height of posterior wall of injury vertebral body was calculated according to formula \( (V_1 + V_3) / 2 \) [26, 27]. The ratio of height of bone fragment occupying posterior wall of injury vertebral body (RHBF) was calculated according to formula \( V_2 / (V_1 + V_3) / 2 \) [26, 27]. \( V_1 \) indicates the height of vertebra at the level above the injury vertebra. \( V_2 \) indicates height of bone fragment. \( V_3 \) indicates height of vertebra at the level below the injured vertebra. \( V_1, V_2 \) and \( V_3 \) were measured directly.

Fracture pattern and neurological injury

All the fractures were classified according to the AO classification system. A1 is compression fracture. A3 is burst fracture. A3.1 is wedge compression fracture. A3.2 is sagittal or coronal split fracture in the vertebral body. A3.3 is comminuted and displacement fracture.

Figure 1. WBF, TCD and HBF measurement. A. The width of bone fragment was 17.04 mm on the axial plane of CT image. B. The TCD was 26.77 mm on the axial plane of CT image. C. The height of bone fragment, posterior wall of vertebrae above and below injury vertebra were 12.81 mm, 30.76 mm and 30.54 mm on the sagittal plane of CT image.
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The neurological status was classified according to American Spinal Injury Association’s modified Frankel’s grading of traumatic paraplegia [28]: A, No sensory or motor function is preserved in the sacral segments S4-S5; B, Sensory but not motor function is preserved below the neurological level and includes the sacral segments S4-S5; C, Motor function is preserved below the neurological level, and more than half of key muscles below the neurological level have a muscle grade less than 3; D, Motor function is preserved below the neurological level, and at least half of key muscles below the neurological level have a muscle grade greater than or equal to 3; and E, Sensory and motor function is normal. As the fractures pattern is sequentially classified into three subgroups and neurological injury is classified into five types, the values are added to provide a comprehensive severity score. A3.1 is assigned 1 point, A3.2 is assigned 2 points and A3.3 is assigned 3 points. ASIA A is assigned 0 point, ASIA B is assigned 1 point, ASIA C is assigned 2 points, ASIA D is assigned 3 points and ASIA E is assigned 4 points.

Assessment of injury of PLL

MRI assessed injury of PLL according to Grenier’s study [29]. Intact PLL is assigned 1 point. Disrupted PLL is assigned 2 point.

Statistical analysis

We used SPSS 12.0 for windows (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois) for statistical analysis. All data were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or frequency. Logistic Regression correlates different parameters, AO classification, ASIA grade to PLL status. All tests were set as two sides and a P value of < 0.05 was considered statistical significant.

Results

Included patients

A total of 52 patients formed the study population. All of these patients were divided into two group randomly, including intact group (31 patients) and disrupted group (21 patients). Also, there were no significant differences between the two groups for the clinical characteristics. The demographics of the patients are presented in Table 1. Mean age was 37.1 years. There were 34 men and 18 women.

Measurements of parameters

Summary of CT measurements (mean, standard deviation) is displayed in Table 2 for each measurement. The minimal HBF was 5 mm in the intact group, while 7 mm in the disrupted group. The minimal WBF was 10 mm in the intact group, while 12 mm in the disrupted group. The minimal RHBF was 26.7% in the intact group, while 33.5% in the disrupted group. The minimal RWBF was 43.5% in the intact group, while 58.5% in the disrupted group. There was significant difference on the HBF (t = -3.646, P = 0.001 < 0.05), WBF (t = -3.615, P = 0.001 < 0.05), RHBF (t = -4.124, P = 0.000 < 0.05) and RWBF (t = -3.305, P = 0.002 < 0.05) between the intact group and injury group.

PLL status and AO classification, ASIA grade

The numbers of PLL status in different AO classification and ASIA grade are displayed in Table 1.
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2. There were 31 patients with intact PLL and 21 patients with disrupted PLL.

**Correlations between different parameters measurements, AO classification, ASIA grade and reposition of bone fragments**

Table 3 displays coefficients between different parameters measurements with Mimics assistance, AO classification, ASIA grade and PLL status. There was a significant correlation between injury of PLL and ASIA grade (OR = 7.851, P = 0.005), and AO classification (OR = 6.401, P = 0.011), and RHBF (OR = 6.455, P = 0.011), and HBF (OR = 5.208, P = 0.022). There was no significant correlation between WBF, RWBF and PLL status (Table 3).

**Table 2. Summary of Mimics10.0 Measurements and different AO classification and ASIA Grades**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Intact group (n = 31)</th>
<th>Disrupted group (n = 21)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of bone fragments (mm)</td>
<td>10.17</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>13.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of bone fragments (mm)</td>
<td>12.97</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>16.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHBF (%)</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RWBF (%)</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO Classification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3.1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3.2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIA Grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIA A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIA B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIA C</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIA D</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIA E</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Table 3. Correlation between Reposition of Bone Fragments and Parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>β-Coefficient</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Height of bone fragments (mm)</td>
<td>5.208 (0.87-7.15)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of bone fragments (mm)</td>
<td>2.847 (1.05-5.68)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHBF (%)</td>
<td>6.455 (1.31-9.04)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RWBF (%)</td>
<td>0.992 (0.64-5.63)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO Classification</td>
<td>6.401 (2.05-8.04)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIA Grade</td>
<td>7.851 (2.51-10.14)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RHBF: ratio of height of bone fragment; RWBF: ratio of width of bone fragment; ASIA: American Spinal Injury Association; AO: Arbeit Fuer Osteoosynthese; OR: odds ratio.

**Discussion**

These results demonstrate that HBF, RHBF, AO classification and ASIA grade were found to be related to injury of PLL. Reposition of bone fragments has been established to be associated with ligamentotaxis by intact PLL and ligamentotaxis can reduce only those retropropulsed fragments that are still attached to a ligamentous structure [30]. According to Muller’s study [31], the large trapezoid-shaped fragments were considered to be difficult to reposition. But it is not clear that what the size of bone fragment was the large trapezoid-shaped fragments and the correlation was between injury of PLL and size of bone fragment. MRI is currently considered the “gold standard” for determination of a PLL injury in thoracolumbar burst fracture and has been found to be reasonably sensitive to PLL injury, although it may not discriminate all the PLL status [29]. So bony threshold parameters should be correspond to PLL injury for indirect assessment of injury of PLL.

The present study establishes that threshold bony parameters do not conform to reposition...
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of bone fragments, with the exception of > 67% compromise of the spinal canal [32, 33]. There was no significant association between threshold bony parameters and injury to the PLL. The bony parameters may be predictors of injury of PLL.

These findings suggest that AO classification and ASIA could be predictors of assessing reposition of bone fragments. Possible explanations for these findings include a relationship between bony size and injury of PLL. Patients with large bony fragment might be expected to have higher degree AO classification, ASIA grade because the vertebral body had a greater crush, the PLL may be disrupted. Conversely, patients with subtle bony fragments have lighter degree AO classification, ASIA grade, the PLL may be intact.

Strengths of this study include it analyze multiple parameters that are correlation to the injury of PLL and point out the most important referential parameters. At the same time this study reminds surgeon attention on necessary parameters about assessing status of PLL before operation. Limitations of this study include that sample is small and it did not acquire quantized numeric.

In conclusion, these results demonstrate that AO classification, ASIA grade, HBF and RHBF are correlations to injury of PLL in the thoracolumbar burst fracture. Especially PLL status is not clear on MRI scan, it is necessary that paying attention to AO classification, ASIA grade, HBF and RHBF. Certainly surgeons should consider direct assessment of PLL injury if there is clinical concern instead of indirect assessment from bony measurements.
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